1 United States Department of Justice Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives 2 3 In the Matter of: Revocation of License issued 4 to Dick's Sporting Goods, Inc. d/b/a Dicks' Sporting Goods, Inc., Store Number 375 6 FFL # 1-62-157-01-3K-03207 7 8 February 5, 2014 9 ATF Memphis Field Office 2600 Thousand Oaks Boulevard 10 Suite 2300 Memphis, Tennessee 38118 11 12 The hearing was convened, pursuant to notice, 13 at time, MICHAEL T. REILLY, Hearing Officer, presiding. 14 15 APPEARANCES: 16 PATRICIA LANCASTER, Nashville Division Counsel 17 B. TODD MARTIN, Nashville Division Counsel 18 MARK BARNES, Counsel for Licensee 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1

I N D E X

2	Witness:	Examined By:	Page:
			16
3	Thomas Williams	Ms. Lancaster - direct Mr. Barnes - cross	42
4		Ms. Lancaster - redirect	64
5		Mr. Barnes - re-cross	73
6	Richard Howard	Ms. Lancaster - direct Mr. Barnes - cross	80 86
		Ms. Lancaster - redirect	89
7		Mr. Barnes - recross	90
8	Kevin Dodson		94
9		Mr. Martin - cross Mr. Barnes - redirect	113 122
9		mr. barnes redirect	122
10	Tommy Wittman		130
11		Mr. Martin - cross	138
	Wally Nelson	Mr. Barnes - direct	140
12		Mr. Martin - cross Mr. Barnes - redirect	148 154
13		MI. Daines - leallect	104
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	11		

1		EXHIBITS		9
2	Exhibit:	Description	Marked	Received
3	Government			
4	1	Federal licensing system printout	15	15
5	2	Notice of Revocation	15	15
6 7	3	Request for hearing	15	15
8	4	Power of attorney	15	15
9	5	Notice of hearing	15	15
10	6	Notice of rescheduled hearing	15	15
11	7	Report of violation	28	
12	8	Mr. Brady's 4473	32	42
13	9	Denial from TICS	32	42
14	10	Mrs. Brady's 4473	35	92
15	11	Sign-off sheet	41	42
16	12	TICS log	34	42
17	13	Acknowledgement	70	70
18	14	Form 4473	72	72
19	Licensee			
20	1	Dick's gun manual	95	110
21	2	Operational procedure	98	110
22	3	Indiana documents	105	110
23	4	Rescind request	112	112
24	5	Reports of interviews	140	140
25				
		FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.	· ·	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (9:18 a.m.) 3 HEARING OFFICER: Okay, good morning. This is a hearing being conducted under the provisions of 4 5 § 923(f)(2), Title 18, the United States Code, and 6 § 478.74, Title 27, Code of Federal Regulations. 7 The time is 9:18, the date is February 5th. 8 audio recording is being made of these proceedings for 9 The place is the ATF Memphis Field Office at the record. 10 2600 Thousand Oak Boulevard, Suite 2300, in the City of 11 Memphis, in the County of Shelby, in the State of 12 Tennessee. 13 At this time, I'll ask the Licensee and those 14 present on their behalf to state and spell their last 15 name, and give their relationship to the hearing. 16 MR. BARNES: Mark Barnes. I'm attorney for the 17 Licensee and the last name is spelled B-A-R-N-E-S. 18 MR. NELSON: I'm Wally Nelson. I'm the 19 consultant to Mark Barnes. Last name, N-E-L-S-O-N. 20 MR. DODSON: Kevin Dodson from Dick's Sporting 21 Goods. I'm the manager of Loss Prevention/Compliance 22 Last name's spelled D-O-D-S-O-N. & Logistics.

MS. TORTORICE: Mary Tortorice. I am a vice president in Legal and chief compliance officer of Dick's Sporting Goods. And my last name is spelled

23

24

25

1 T-O-R-T-O-R-I-C-E. 2 HEARING OFFICER: On behalf of the Government, 3. we have? 4 MS. LANCASTER: I'm Patricia Lancaster, 5 attorney for the Nashville Field Division. I'll be 6 presenting the Government's case in chief. And I'll ask 7 my colleagues to identify themselves. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Can you spell your last name, 9 please? 10 MS. LANCASTER: L-A-N-C-A-S-T-E-R. 11 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. 12 MR. MARTIN: My name's Todd Martin. 13 Division Counsel for the Nashville Field Division. 14 spelling is M-A-R-T-I-N. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Thomas Williams, Industry 16 Operations Investigator for Nashville II Area Office. 17 The last name is spelled W-I-L-I-A-M-S. 18 HEARING OFFICER: Also in attendance is the 19 Director of Industry Operations for the Nashville Field 20 Division, Kevin Boydston. 21 My name is Michael T. Reilly. I'm the Hearing 22 Officer by the direction under the authority of the Chief 23 of the National Licensing Center for the Bureau of 24 Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives with the United 25 States Department of Justice.

1	This hearing is an administrative procedure,		
2	is informal in nature and it is to review to the Notice		
3	of Revocation of license, the ATF Form 4500, that was		
4	issued under the provisions of § 923, Title 18, the		
5	United States Code.		
6	The license was issued to Dick's Sporting		
7	Goods, Inc. doing business as Dick's Sporting Goods,		
8	Inc., Store Number 375. The address is 2393 North		
9	Germantown Parkway, in the City of Memphis, in the State		
10	of Tennessee. The license was issued as a Type 01 Dealer		
11	in Firearms other than Destructive Devices.		
12	Who would like to speak on behalf of the		
13	company?		
14	MR. BARNES: I'll be speaking on behalf of the		
15	company.		
16	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And your position with		
17	the company is what?		
18	MR. BARNES: Attorney.		
19	HEARING OFFICER: Attorney for the company?		
20	MR. BARNES: Right.		
21	HEARING OFFICER: And a result of receiving the		
22	4500, you requested a hearing; is that correct?		
23	MR. BARNES: That is correct.		
24	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So at this time, I'll		
25	ask the Government to present their case, to review their		

circumstances into any of the investigative findings 1 2 that have a bearing on these proceedings. 3 MS. LANCASTER: Thank you. This matter is very simple, and it's based on three different allegations. 4 5 Of course in order to be actionable, a violation of the 6 Gun Control Act must be shown to be willful according to 7 the statute which requires willfulness and case law of 8 which requires willfulness and defines willfulness. 9 The first allegation is transfer to a person 10 with reason to believe that person was prohibited, the second allegation is false information in the 11 12 Acquisition/Disposition Record as to the purchaser, and the third allegation is false information on an ATF 13 14 Form 4473 as to the purchaser. 15 And all three of these allegations are actually 16 all related to two transactions which are related to each 17 other as the evidence will show. 18 So that is the basis that the Government is 19 alleging as willful violations supporting this action. 20 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Barnes, do you have an 21 opening statement? 22 MR. BARNES: I do. Thank you, Mr. Reilly. 23 First of all, it's our position that the FFL 24 cannot be revoked because there was no willful violation

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

25

of the Gun Control Act.

Now, willfulness means somebody knew of their legal obligation and purposely disregarded it or they were plainly indifferent to that obligation; we have case law for that that we could submit to Mr. Reilly. And that there's also a conscious, intentional, deliberate, voluntary decision; we can also submit case law on that.

It's clear from the legislative history when the Gun Control Act was amended from Senate Report 98-583 that revocations are not to be based on mistakes or human error. As the evidence will show, we will show there was a human error here but it was certainly inadvertent.

Examples of willful conduct and violation of the Gun Control Act, for instance, in the <u>DiMartino vs.</u>

<u>Buckles</u> case which does stand for the proposition that, you know, one violation can serve as a basis for revocation. But there were multiple violations in that case.

Generally, there are multiple inspections and repeated violations before ATF takes on a revocation.

Not always because when there's a very egregious violation, that can serve as the basis for a Notice of Revocation.

Now the actual circumstances here that we'll show in the evidence is that the store clerk,

Mr. Krueger, nor any other Dick's employee knew or should

have known that the firearm was purchased for the benefit of a prohibited person.

Mr. Brady who was the first purchaser and the husband attempted to purchase as a gift for his wife -- and we'll introduce that evidence and the report of our investigator who is in Arizona, Tommy Wittman, former Assistant Special Agent in Charge to the Phoenix Field Division; he interviewed the purchasers. He attempted to purchase the gun as a gift for his wife, and he didn't even know that he was a prohibited person.

When he walked away from the purchase transaction, he came back to the home, informed his wife, who was supposed to go on a pheasant hunt and she needed a shotgun, that there was some mix up at the Veterans Administration and that he would not be able to purchase the gun. And if she wanted it, he was going to get it as a gift for her, that's what he said, that she should go back to the store and just buy it for herself.

He properly completed the paperwork and he was properly denied, but the clerk forgot to put his denial in the denial log. Now the denial log, as the evidence will show and as Dick's will testify, they have a very complete and comprehensive internal control system in Dick's to try to catch straw purchasers. But here through inadvertent error, the clerk forgot to record the

denial in the denial log.

Nine hours later when the wife returned to get the shotgun, he would have checked the denial log but he would have found that it was empty; there was no entry in the denial log.

She properly completed the form. There was no other indication that she was a prohibited person. And she was, in fact, purchasing the shotgun for her own account, for her own purchase.

Therefore, it's our contention that our FFL cannot be revoked because there was no attempted or actual straw purchase.

The definition of a straw purchase is the legal purchase of a firearm by one person for another. More often than not, the recipient is a prohibited person.

Now, just a few weeks ago in oral argument before the Supreme Court of the United States in the Baranski case, the position of the United States Government was very clear. Gift transactions are absolutely permissible, there's no problem with them. And also in the Federal Firearms Reference Guide, and I see we have a copy here at the table, on page 165, that makes it clear.

So Mr. Brady went to purchase the firearm as a gift, he was denied. He came back and told his wife that

he could not obtain the firearm as a gift for her, that he would have to, she would have to obtain it for her herself, she did. She's not a prohibited possessor.

And our position is there was no willfulness on the part of the store.

The system worked as it was supposed to except in one instance where the denial log had not been properly amended by the clerk. If he had amended it, he would have checked it as he normally does and seen that he had made a disposition or attempted disposition to Mr. Brady earlier in the day, and he could have interdicted the transaction.

We'll present his interview by Mr. Wittman, the investigator, and he has no recollection except that that was a very busy period during the hunting season, and there were distractions that were keeping him from attending to everything that he needed to attend to. But he doesn't have any recollection basically of the transaction.

We also cannot have a license revoked. This is the first time I've seen in a Notice of Revocation allegations that false recordkeeping was entered into the A&D records and false information entered into the 4473.

We actually would be interested in hearing more from the Government on that legal theory on why that's

appropriate because in effect, you know, when a transaction is being transacted, the proper information that has to go into the recordkeeping is the information of the individual who is making application for the firearm period, end of discussion. There really isn't any other information that can be put into the recordkeeping system.

I think it's, or it's our legal position, it would be kind of an absurd result that if we had a person appearing at the counter and somebody, and they were, and it were a true straw purchase situation that, you know, the clerk could not be cited for entering the information into the record, by entering into the record or into the A&D system the information of the straw purchaser or the intended recipient and then transfer the firearm to somebody else.

So we understand the nature of the Government's argument with regard to willfulness and enabling a straw purchase, and that we'll be able to rebut we think very effectively. But we certainly think as a matter of law the Government cannot claim that there was false information entered into the recordkeeping.

That concludes my opening statement.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ms. Lancaster.

MS. LANCASTER: The Government does not find

FREE STATE F

that it's appropriate to interject argument in opening even though the rules don't apply here. We will make responses to various matters raised by the FFL's attorney on our closing including case law that will contravene what the argument has been made by the Licensee's attorney.

Initially in presenting the Government's case,

I have a number of documents which are basically in

administrative nature which go to the basis for our being

here for this hearing today and the basis for bringing

this action.

The first one is the federal licensing system printout which merely shows that Dick's Sporting Goods at the location already mentioned does hold a Federal Firearms License. These requirements do not apply to a person who is not licensed under the Gun Control Act.

So Government Number 1 is for the proof that Dick's Sporting Goods at the location stated does hold a Federal Firearms License as alleged in the notice. It's Government Number 1, the federal licensing system printout, page 1. And I'll just hold all these until I submit them.

Government Number 2 is the Notice of Revocation which we have mentioned in our openings. It gives Dick's Sporting Goods the allegations which are alleged as

willful violations of the Gun Control Act to support the action of revocation of that license. It is dated November 21 of 2013.

And in addition to that notice, the Licensee timely submitted a request for hearing on the revocations. Government Number 3 is that request for hearing submitted on behalf of the Licensee by Attorney Christopher Thomas of Mark Barnes & Associates,

Attorneys. It's dated December 6, and the request was submitted in writing. It also included for convenience a copy of that actual Notice of Revocation.

Government 4 is a power of attorney that was submitted on behalf of the Licensee which appoints the Mark Barnes & Associates, Attorneys, to represent them in this particular matter on Store Number 375.

Government 5 is ATF's initial notice of hearing that was submitted to the Licensee. And initially, this hearing was scheduled for January 15. So the first notice of hearing dated December 18 gives notice of that hearing date, January 15. And it was submitted to the Licensee and to the counsel for the Licensee.

It also includes information regarding requirements for federal buildings and so forth.

Attached to that we have a copy of the certified mail receipt and return domestic receipt showing that it was

1	received by counsel for the Licensee.
2	At the request of the Licensee counsel, the
3	hearing was delayed, and it was rescheduled for today at
4	this time and date and at this place. It was issued on
5	January 3rd scheduling the hearing for today, Government
6	Number 6, and it includes a certified mail receipt and
7	domestic return receipt for delivery of that item.
8	So the Government submits Government 1 through
9	6 as described on the record for evidence at the
10	beginning of the case.
11	HEARING OFFICER: Government Exhibits 1 through
12	6 entered into the record.
13	MS. LANCASTER: Thank you.
14	(Whereupon, Government's
15	Exhibit Numbers 1 through 6
16	were marked for
17	identification and received
18	into evidence.)
19	MS. LANCASTER: At this time, we call our first
20	witness.
21	(Whereupon,
22	THOMAS WILLIAMS
23	was called as a witness, and after having been first duly
24	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:)
25	DIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MS. LANCASTER:
2	Q State your name for the record again, please.
3	A Thomas Williams.
4	Q And do speak up because we have pretty
5	sensitive equipment here, but we need to make sure we get
6	a good recording so that we have an accurate recording.
7	So if you'll use a good bit of volume with your voice.
8	How are you currently employed, Mr. Williams?
9	A I'm an Industry Operations Investigator with
10	the Nashville II Area Field Office.
11	Q Is that commonly referred to by the
12	abbreviation IOI?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Give us a brief description of your duties and
15	responsibilities as an ATF IOI?
16	A Okay. Briefly, I qualify individuals or
17	businesses who would, who desire or would like to become
18	Federal Firearms Licensees or Federal Explosives
19	Licensees or Permitees.
20	I also conduct compliance inspections of
21	businesses or individuals who are currently Federal
22	Firearms Licensees or Federal Explosives Permitees or
23	Licensees.
24	Q How long have you been employed by ATF?
25	A Since 2001.

Were you in that same position all that time? 1 Q 2 That's correct. 3 Did you have any special training for conducting your duties as an IOI? 4 Yes. I was trained at FLETC, Federal Law 5 6 Enforcement Training Center. I also had on-the-job 7 training and also various classes through ATF throughout 8 the years. The training that you had at the Federal Law 9 Enforcement Training Center, could you tell us about how 10 long that was? 11 Well, it was approximately two months. 12 Did that include training on the requirements 13 Q. on the Federal Firearms Laws and Regulations as applied 14 to Federal Firearms Licensees? 15 16 Yes, it did. Α Your on-the-job training, describe that for us, 17 18 please? Okay. I was assigned an experienced 19 Α investigator who was given the assignment to work with me 20 for a period of time whereas I would shadow him on his 21 22 assignments, assist him on his assignments with the 23 compliance inspections or application inspections with the narratives. And then I would work some of the 24

assignments assisted by my IOI at the time or inspector

25

at the time. And he would review my work. 1 2 Once it was reviewed, my area supervisor would 3 review my work. They would critique it and then they 4 would make their recommendations. 5 And once that timeframe was over with and I 6 successfully went through that training then I was let go 7 and assigned work independently on my own. 8 0 Do you recall about how long it was that your on-the-job training program lasted until you were 9 10 independently assigned your own work? 11 Α I'd say approximately a year. 12 0 Does anyone review your work today? 13 Α Yes. 14 Who reviews your work? 15 Α My area supervisor. 16 You mentioned that you conduct compliance 17 inspections as well as qualification inspections for 18 Federal Firearms Licensees and applicants. Could you tell us in general what is the purpose of a compliance 19 20

inspection?

Α To make sure that licensees are in compliance with the federal regulations. It's also an educational opportunity for the licensees at the same time.

Could you explain in what way it's an Q educational opportunity for the licensee?

21

22

23

24

25

A Well, it gives the licensee a chance to come face to face with somebody who understands the regulations and can answer any types of questions as well as provide information to the licensee just in case they haven't been following the information on our websites or gathering or coming to any of our seminars. But it gives the licensee an opportunity to ask questions and also receive information.

Q What about the qualification inspections for applications for Federal Firearms Licensees, does that include any education?

A Yes, it does. At that time we verify that the applicant who they are, who they say they are. We provide information to the applicant at that time. We have an acknowledgement sheet and all applications and compliance inspections where the, where we review the acknowledgment sheet which is a condensed version of the Federal Regulations Guide.

And by going through that, we're providing information to the applicant at that time for his compliance inspection, the same thing.

Q When you say that you review that condensed version of the requirements of the regulations on the acknowledgement sheet, could you give us just a rough estimate of about how long you do that review, how much

time you spend with that applicant? 1 2 When I'm going through the acknowledgement 3 sheet because, again, it's all attachments, information concerning the forms that are listed on the 4 5 acknowledgement sheet, it usually takes me about two 6 hours to go through the actual acknowledgement stuff. 7 Now what about the compliance, you had mentioned that you have education of the licensee during 8

the compliance time inspection, and you also do an

acknowledgement sheet during that process, correct?

A That's correct.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Is that about the same or about a different amount of time that you spend in reviewing those basic requirements with someone already licensed that you're doing a compliance --

A It's usually about the same.

Q Were you involved at all in the application inspection for Dick's Sporting Goods at this location that we're talking about today in Memphis?

- A Yes, I was.
- Q Could you tell us about when that was?
- A That was approximately about 2007.
- Q Do you recall any details from that qualification inspection?
- A At that point in time, the store was just

opening or was about to open. They had brought in a 2 manager who was familiar with opening stores. And we 3 conducted the application inspection at that time. 4 Did you follow that process that you described Q 5 to us just now? 6 Α Yes, I did. 7 And as a part of your duties, did you conduct a 8 compliance inspection of this same location of Dick's 9 Sporting Goods in Memphis? 10 Α Yes, I did. 11 Do you recall about when you conducted the Q 12 compliance inspection? 13 The compliance inspection was conducted some 14 time in June of 2013. 15 Do you recall exactly how it was determined 16 that you were going to conduct an inspection of this 17 licensee at that location? 18 Α Inspections are chosen at random. They're not 19 something that's just picked. It's usually something 20 (indiscernible). 21 Does anyone advise of which inspections to 22 conduct as your assignment? 23 My area supervisor advised the inspections. 24 And he gives out the inspections, he gives out the 25

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

assignments and from there, we conduct the inspections.

	2:		
1	Q Did anyone else from ATF accompany you on		
2	this inspection?		
3	A No.		
4	Q Could you recall about how long overall you		
5	were on the premises to conduct that inspection?		
6	A I'd say approximately four days.		
7	Q And approximately when was the compliance		
8	inspection?		
9	A June 2013.		
10	Q Tell us just in a very basic overview what		
11	actions you took in conducting that compliance inspection		
11	actions you took in conducting that compilance inspection		
12	in June of 2013.		
13	A Okay. Basically, I conducted an inventory of		
14	the firearms, book to gun, gun to book; reviewed the		
15	Acquisition and Disposition log; reviewed the ATF Forms		
16	4473s. And that's basically what we do, make sure that a		
17	copy of their license is there on the premises posted,		
18	and that's basically what we do when we go in.		
19	Q So what you're saying in this inspection it was		
20	pretty much like your standard procedure in all		
21	inspections?		
22	A Exactly, standard compliance inspection.		
23	Q So when you say you made a comparison of your		
24	inventory found of firearms, gun to book and book to gun,		
1	· ·		

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

25 | what records are you referring to?

1 Α The Acquisition and Disposition log. 2 So how exactly do you make that comparison, 3 what do you compare? 4 Α Okay. What I compare of the acquisitions and I 5 take the dispositions -- the open dispositions in the 6 Acquisition and Disposition log should equal the number 7 of firearms in inventory. Those open disposition entries 8 on the acquisition side as far as the information should 9 be identical to what's on the firearm itself as far as 10 the importer, manufacturer, model, serial number, caliber 11 of the firearm. 12 Is there any other information that's required 13 to be kept in the Acquisition/Disposition record other than what you just described on the firearm? 14 15 Α Date that the firearm was received, the 16 name and address or name and license number of the 17 Federal Firearms Licensee, wherever they're acquiring the 18 firearm from. 19 On the disposition side, it should be the date 20 of transfer; the name and complete address of the 21 individual or company that it's going to or the name and 22 FFL of the licensee that it's being transferred to; or if 23 they serialize their forms then the serial number of that 24 ATF Form 4473, that's the address.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

On the Form 4473 that you referred to, could

25

you tell us what that form is?

A Okay. Firearms Transaction Record is used to, used when a firearm is being transferred to a non-licensed individual from a licensee to a non-licensed individual.

The form must be completed by the non-licensed individual, and the information on that form would also have the information of the background check that has been completed as well as information from the licensee pertaining to the firearm being transferred, and the licensee as well as the transferee certifying that they have read and understand the notices and instructions and definitions on the form.

Q Is a Firearms Transaction Record or for short, the ATF Form 4473, is that required for all transfers from a licensed dealer to a non-licensee?

A Yes, it is.

Q I'm going to show you now what I have marked as Government Number 7.

MS. LANCASTER: And Mr. Barnes, I provided you just a few minutes ago a copy of all of our evidence, and they have markings for Government numbers. And I'll try to make sure you have before you what it is we're talking about at that moment. And if I don't, please let me know, and we'll get time for you to look at it.

2
MR. BARNES: Well if I may, Mr. Reilly, is
this what you're referring to, Government 8 is an
indicated marking and
MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
MR. BARNES: then on this document here
MS. LANCASTER: It's got two pages so there's a
page before it.
MR. BARNES: Okay, Government Number 7.
MS. LANCASTER: Yes, correct.
MR. BARNES: Okay.
MS. LANCASTER: So just make sure if I don't
notice that you don't have the one that I'm referring to
that I give you time to locate that in your information
because we provided you some materials before today but
not marked as evidence so not in that order.
MR. BARNES: Right.
MS. LANCASTER: So we'll work with ones that
you have from today.
BY MS. LANCASTER:
Q I'm showing you what's marked as Government
Number 7 and ask you to take a moment to look at that.
A Yes.
Q Tell us what that is.
A This is a Report of Violations that's issued
out during the inspection and reviewed with the licensee

1 for any violations that were found during the 2 inspection. 3 Is that a part of a standard procedure in 4 conducting a compliance inspection? 5 Α Yes, it is. 6 What information do you use in general as an 7 IOI to input information onto that Report of Violations? 8 Okay. Basically the information will come from Α 9 the forms, ATF Forms 4473, or the Acquisition and 10 Disposition record. And the regulation cite comes out of 11 the Regulation Guidebook. 12 When you prepare a Report of Violations, at 13 what point in time is that done in regard to your overall 14 conducting a compliance inspection? 15 Well, during the inspection process, the actual 16 completion of the report is usually completed at the end 17 of the inspection or reviewed with the licensee at the 18 end of the inspection. 19 But during the inspection, that's when 20 violations are actually noted and found. So during the 21 inspection, you come across certain issues well then 22 that's when you start to write them down and notate them 23 so that you can compile them at the end of the

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

In this particular compliance inspection of

24

25

inspection.

Q

1 Dick's Sporting Goods, did you follow that same process 2 that you just described? 3 Α Yes, I did. And do you recall if you discussed any of these violations during the compliance inspection prior to the end of it with any person on site representing Dick's Sporting Goods? Α Well, I may have discussed violation 1 possibly at that time about the failure to properly record the correct disposition, name and address for a stolen model. I may have discussed that at that time because I'm trying to find out the information at that particular point in

The information about the straw purchaser, that may have occurred towards the end of the inspection. can't say with certainty because, again, it dealt with a straw purchaser, and I'm going through the, all approvals and all denials and so I'm comparing at the same time. And many times, it's not until actually the end of the inspection when I can actually look at and verify the information.

Did you review the information in the completed Report of Violations with anyone representing Dick's Sporting Goods at the end of your inspection?

Α Yes, I did.

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

time.

1	2 Do way magall with whom way ward and that 2
1	Q Do you recall with whom you reviewed that?
2	A Yes. There were three individuals who were
3	present at the closing. That was the loss prevention
4	manager, Mr. Karff (ph.); the acting store manager,
5	Mr. Pounds; and the district manager, Mr. Silva.
6	Q Did any person sign that Report of Violations
7	after your review?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you recall who signed it?
10	A Mr. Silva, the district manger; and Mr. Pounds,
11	the acting store manager.
12	Q And did you yourself sign it on behalf of ATF?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	(Whereupon, Licensee's
15	Exhibit Number 7 was marked
16	for identification.)
17	MS. LANCASTER: Just to clarify on the record,
18	the violation number 1 which was mentioned earlier is not
19	alleged so it's not a part of this matter. It was
20	mentioned as example of the review of the records and
21	then recording onto this form. But that's just a general
22	statement of what he did. That's not alleged so we're
23	not using that (indiscernible).
24	MR. BARNES: No, we understand that clearly
25	but, counsel, just a clarification. So Mr. Silva and

1	Mr. Pounds both signed the Report of Violations?		
2	MR. MARTIN: Yes.		
3	MR. BARNES: Okay, thank you.		
4	MS. LANCASTER: I'm going to hold onto this		
5	because it relates to other issues so we'll just go		
6	through as you mentioned one by one on the allegations.		
7	If you'll refer to number 3 listed on the		
8	violations there, could you explain to us what number 3		
9	concerns?		
10	THE WITNESS: Okay. Number 3 is the transfer		
11	of a firearm to a person, have reasonable cause to		
12	believe that the person's prohibited. With that, there		
13	were two forms. There was the approved ATF Form 4473 of		
14	the individual, Laura Brady, and there was the denied ATF		
15	Form 4473 of Jason Brady.		
16	MS. LANCASTER: Okay. I'm going to show you		
17	what's marked as Government Number 8.		
18	And Mr. Barnes, I don't know if your copies are		
19	stapled, mine are not. So it goes through three pages of		
20	a 4473 and one page on the back of it marked Tennessee		
21	Instant Check System.		
22	MR. BARNES: Yeah.		
23	MS. LANCASTER: All of that constitutes		
24	Government Number 8 and then your next number is		
25	Government Number 9 below that.		

1 MR. BARNES: This is Government Number 9? 2 MS. LANCASTER: Yes, correct. That has a 3 little (indiscernible). 4 MR. BARNES: Right, got it. 5 MS. LANCASTER: Just so you have the accurate information on what document we have. 6 7 BY MS. LANCASTER: 8 Q Okay, I'm showing you Government Number 8, and 9 I'll ask you to take a moment to look at that. Does this 10 relate in any way to the information that you just gave 11 us regarding violation number 3 on your Report of 12 Violations? 13 Yes, it does. 14 Q Explain what Government Number 8 is in 15 regarding to the third violation on your Report of 16 Violations? 17 Α Okay. This individual, Mr. Jason Brady, 18 completed this ATF Form 4473, a background check was 19 initiated and completed; the response was denied. 20 Licensee attached the associated checklist with -- TICS 21 is the government agency that the background checks are 22 run (indiscernible). 23 They attach the response to the form indicating 24 also that the individual has a denied transaction on 25 11/6/2012. So the response was marked denied, they've

attached the response also from TICS which indicates the date as well as the time of the individual.

Q Do you have any way knowing whether or not that firearm that's described according to the Form 4473 was actually transferred to Jason Wayne Brady or not?

A I have reasonable cause to believe that it was transferred.

An individual -- there was a proceed response for a Ms. Laura Brady on another ATF Form 4473 where it was indicated that that firearm was transferred and verified with the Acquisition and Disposition record showing that that firearm was transferred to Ms. Laura Brady; identical name, last name, identical address, identical firearm and the same clerk.

Q You mentioned the Tennessee Instant Check

System, could you tell us what the requirement is under

the Gun Control Act for the instant check system?

A Okay. The requirement is that if a non-licensed individual will be purchasing a firearm from a licensee, a Federal Firearm Licensee, then that Federal Firearms Licensee must complete a background check upon that non-licensed individual. And they receive a response back, the licensee will receive the response back of either approved or denied.

Q And what is the importance of having the

instant check system for firearms licensees? 2 To determine if the individual's prohibited or Α 3 not prohibited. 4 Is that a nationwide requirement for all the Q 5 Gun Control Acts? 6 It is a nationwide requirement. The system 7 itself is the National Instant Check System. Tennessee is what we call the point-of-contact state where we pull 8 that information from NICS, but we are considered a 9 10 point-of-contact state. 11 And does that operate the same as other states 12 which are not point-of-contact which use the National 13 Instant Check System? 14 It operates the same. In addition, the Tennessee Instant Check System also logs the serial 15 16 number of the firearm at the point of sale. And in 17 addition, they also charge a fee. 18 Did you obtain a log of the TICS transactions 19 for the date in question for Jason Wayne Brady's 20 attempted purchase? 21 Α Yes, I did. 22 (Whereupon, Government's 23 Exhibit Numbers 8 and 9 24 were marked for 25 identification.)

BY MS. LANCASTER:

Q I'm going to show you Government 12, and I'll apologize, we're going a little bit of out of order in our numbering so that we can keep the same order in your allegations as requested.

Government Number 12, tell us how that relates if it does to the transactions that you just described earlier with Jason Brady and Laura Brady?

A Okay. What we see here, we have the individual on November 12th, I'm sorry, November 6th, 2012,

Mr. Brady, denied at that time. And three transactions, after three transactions have occurred on November 6th, three TICS transactions, Ms. Laura Brady is approved. So there are three transactions in between the time that Mr. Jason Brady was denied and Ms. Laura Brady was approved.

Q Did any of the records that you reviewed give you any indication of the time lapse in between the attempt by Jason Brady and then the successful purchase by Laura Brady?

A Yes.

Q What documents did you obtain that information from?

A Okay. The document that I obtained that information from was attached to the back of the ATF Form

Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902

It is the print out of the TICS response from 4473. 2 the Tennessee Instant Check System. 3 So when you say you looked at that Tennessee 4 Instant Check System, that was for both of those 5 Form 4473s? 6 Α That is correct. 7 (Whereupon, Government's 8 Exhibit Number 12 was 9 marked for identification.) 10 MS. LANCASTER: Bear with me just a minute 11 here. 12 MR. BARNES: That's on Mrs. Brady's exhibit. 13 MS. LANCASTER: Correct. 14 BY MS. LANCASTER: 15 I'm showing you what is marked as Government 16 Number 10, and I'll ask you to take a moment to look at 17 that please and that is the Laura Brady four pages. us how that relates if it does to your information there 18 was reason to believe there was a transfer to, in 19 20 actuality, Jason Brady? 21 Okay. On this form again, Ms. Brady, on this Α 22 form, this 4473, you have the same last name, identical 23 address, identical firearm, signed by the same clerk on 24 the same day of November 6th, 2012. The only difference 25 is that this form has an approved response approximately

eight or nine hours later.

Q Based on your training and your experience what led you to conclude that the Licensee had reason to believe that this was a false purchase or straw purchase?

A Well, as I stated before, you have the identical last name, address, firearm, signed by the clerk for both forms, same clerk on both forms. You have attached to the form a sign-off sheet from the store indicating that the individual has looked at the denials and the approvals, the denials for this (indiscernible).

(Whereupon, Government's Exhibit Number 10 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. LANCASTER:

Q I'm showing you Government 11, and I'll ask you to take a moment to look at that, please. Tell us what that document is.

A Okay, this is a sign-off sheet that the store uses for having their associates, their employees, utilize when they're before a, well, after a transfer has occurred making sure that everything is in order for the ATF Form 4473.

It's a series of reviews reviewed by the individual transferring the firearm going all the way through upper management of the store.

1 Is that form required at all by the Gun O 2 Control Act? 3 No, it isn't. 4 What is significant in your training and Q 5 experience on that form related to the violation that you concluded had occurred? 6 7 Α Well, on here in step 1 under selling associate 8 which is the same individual who completed the Form 4473 9 for Mrs. Brady and Mr. Brady, it states here use the 10 firearms review template to verify Sections A and B on the Form 4473 are complete and review the denied delay 11 12 log for possible straw purchase. If all the information is correct and there is no evidence of straw purchase, 13 14 acknowledge by signing in the space provided, complete 15 step 1 before initiating the background check. 16 And then it goes further down again selling 17 associate again reviews even further information and then 18 upper management signs and dates the form, I mean, signs 19 off on here and dates this sign-off sheet. So why is it significant that the clerk signed 20 that form on the clerk's portion of it indicating that it 21 22 was a lawful sale? 23 Okay. It's significant because what they're

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

stating is that yes, they have reviewed and by their

review, everything was fine.

24

25

1 Q And what would they have reviewed in order to 2 sign on Government 11? 3 Okay. What they should be reviewing and what 4 they should review is the denied form verifying the 5 information there as well as the proceed form also, 6 verifying that the individual's identical to, last name 7 is identical, address is identical, the firearm is identical. 8 9 Where did you find Government 11 in your review 10 of the records? 11 Α This was attached to the form of Ms. Laura 12 Brady. 13 By that you mean the Form 4473? 14 That's correct, Form 4473. 15 When a denial is received in Tennessee from Q 16 TICS, when a denial is received, what is a licensee 17 required to do according to the Gun Control Act? They are to file those forms away in a separate 18 Α 19 file in either alphabetical or chronological order. 20 And do you have any idea of the purpose in 21 maintaining a file of the denials in the alphabetical or 22 chronological order? 23 The purpose is to be able to review the forms 24 in case an individual or, well, an individual other than 25 the person who was denied may attempt to purchase a

firearm that they were trying to purchase when they initially came into the store and the background check was denied.

Q So what is the importance of having the correct person as the buyer on an ATF Form 4473?

A It really goes back to the licensee maintaining good records. The license is the custodian of the records. Any time that a trace request is made of the licensee, they have to go to their records because, again, they're the custodian of the records and provide that information to ATF.

So if you have information in there that's not correct whereas the actual purchaser of the firearm is not in there, is not in the firearm, it's not in the records, then your recordkeeping is not correct. And as far as tracing purposes, it makes it difficult if not impossible to trace a firearm.

Q Could you explain the process by which a trace of a firearm is conducted in general?

A Okay. When a firearm is -- when the information from a firearm is given or taken off the firearm which would be the make, model, serial number, and submit it by law enforcement, well then the tracing center follows the distribution chain, the chain of distribution, from the manufacturer because, again, the

manufacturer's marks of identification or imports marks of identification will be on the firearm itself. And they will follow that through the distribution chain from the manufacturer to the wholesaler or retailer to the purchaser which would be, or maybe a non-licensed individual.

And, again, once it leaves the hands of a non-licensed individual well then as far as the recordkeeping requirements go, that's as far as the recordkeeping requirements will, as far as the information for recordkeeping will take you.

The final person will be the non-licensed individual who is not required to maintain any type of records.

- Q Do those records also assist the licensees in determining that firearms do not go into the hands of prohibited person?
 - A Yes, they do.
 - Q In what way?

A Okay. First of all, with denials, when you're looking at the records, as far as with denials, you're trying to verify that you're not going to be selling or transferring firearms to someone other than the actual person.

Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

In question 11(a), they ask are you the actual

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902

buyer or, are you the actual buyer of the firearm listed on the form. Question 11(a), if you are the actual purchaser of the firearm, buyer of the firearm, you will always answer yes, and that information is also expanded on the information notices and instruction sections.

If the individual is not the actual buyer and they answer yes, well, then they falsified the form. If an individual who has been denied and, again, the denied form is maintained on file with the licensee, with the licensed dealer. And then some other individual, another individual, such as an individual with possibly the same last name, same address, same type of firearm attempts to purchase a firearm, well then by looking through the denied file, you'll see that the individual that you're transferring or who you're possibly transferring to will be prohibited from would be actually a transfer to a prohibited person because the individual is not the person that it is actually, that is the actual purchaser of the firearm itself.

- Q So based on your training and experience, who was the actual buyer of the firearm concerned in the Jason Brady and Laura Brady transactions?
 - A Mr. Jason Brady.
 - Q This was based on the factors that you

1 discussed earlier? 2 That's correct. 3 What about the description of the firearm 4 itself, was that the same, similar or different? 5 Α It was identical. 6 Did it have the same serial number? 7 Α Yes. 8 And what about the information that was 0 9 recorded into the Acquisition and Disposition record? 10 Α That information had the name of Ms. Laura 11 Brady. 12 (Whereupon, Government's 13 Exhibit Number 11 was 14 marked for identification.) 15 MS. LANCASTER: All right. All of the 4473 16 information that we've discussed goes to one and three on 17 here, on the transfer to person with reason to believe 18 prohibited, and three being the false information on the 19 Form 4473 in the name of the buyer. 20 So I have one document that's related to the 21 false information on Acquisition/Disposition record which 22 is Count 2 of the allegations. 23 HEARING OFFICER: Government Exhibit 8 entered into the record. Government Exhibit 9 entered into the record. Government Exhibit 11 entered into the record, 25

	11
1	and Government Exhibit 12 entered into the record.
2	(Whereupon, Government's
3	Exhibit Numbers 8, 9, 11
4	and 12 were received into
5	evidence.)
6	HEARING OFFICER: Does that cover it for one?
7	MS. LANCASTER: Yes. That's all for one, and
8	it'll also be for three as well.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Is that it for number 1 from
10	the Government?
11	MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Barnes.
13	CROSS EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. BARNES:
15	Q Mr. Williams, thank you. I have some questions
16	to go over from your testimony and then I have some other
17	questions to follow up with so let me go back.
18	You testified earlier that you had a close-out
19	conference with the Dick's employees, is that correct,
20	Mr. Karff, Ponz and Silva?
21	A That's correct. Pounds.
22	Q Pounds?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And at that close-out conference, you did raise
25	the issue of violation number 1 or alleged count number 1

1	
	in the revocation, the straw purchase?
2	A Yes.
3	Q How did you describe that then to the Licensee?
4	A I think
5	Q Let me ask it another way. Was the Licensee
6	surprised?
7	A Well, as far as when we say surprised it's like
8	they didn't know. They had no idea that that had
9	occurred.
10	Q Okay. And at that time, did you ask to speak
11	to the first person on Government's Exhibit, I believe
12	it's number 12 if I'm correct?
13	A The selling associate?
14	Q That's correct, Mr. Krueger.
15	A I did, and they said he didn't work for the, no
16	longer works for the (indiscernible).
17	Q Okay. But you wanted to speak to him, but you
18	did not have an opportunity to do so?
19	A Exactly.
20	Q Okay. So it's your testimony then that the
21	store manger, the regional, or the district manager, all
22	three Dick's licensee or Dick's employees were, did not
23	know that this had occurred; is that correct?
24	A Yes. The three that were present at the
25	closing and who assisted me during the inspection.

1 Q Did you ever speak to Mrs. Brady or Mr. Brady 2 or attempt to interview them? 3 Α No. 4 I want to refer to Government's Exhibit, again, Number 12, the TICS log; and let me make sure I've got 5 that. You're familiar with this document, sir, correct? 6 7 Ά Yes. 8 This TICS log, would this be a log that Q Okav. 9 Mr. Krueger or any store personnel would have seen 10 contemporaneous with the transaction in question? 11 Α No, it isn't. 12 Who has access to this log normally? 13 Who has access? The Tennessee Instant Check Α 14 System, and they provide us with a copy of the log. 15 Q Okay. So this is not something that a licensee 16 can just call TICS and ask for, is that correct? 17 That's correct. 18 Now on Government's Exhibit Number 11 that you 19 referred to which is the sign-off sheet, here on the 20 sign-off sheet it says selling associate, use the 21 Firearms Review template to verify if Sections A and B on the Form 4473 are complete and review the denied/delay 22 23 log for possible straw purchases, okay. 24 Now, can you cite, sir, anywhere in the regulations where the licensee is required to maintain 25

this kind of recordkeeping? 2 Α No. 3 Can you cite, sir, anywhere in the regulations 4 where the licensee is required prior to the transfer of a 5 firearm on an approved 4473 to go back to the separate 6 file maintained under the Gun Control Act and review the 7 denials file? No matter what day a purchase is being made and no matter how far back the denials go, can you 8 9 cite anything in the regulation that requires the 10 licensee has a check procedure under the regulations to 11 do that? 12 As a check procedure, no, I can't. 13 Sir, did you take a look at the Dick's 14 denied/delay log yourself? 15 Α No, I didn't. 16 Okay. So you wouldn't have been aware one way 17 or another whether or not Mr. Brady's attempt to purchase a firearm would have been entered into that log, is that 18 19 correct, is that your testimony today? 20 Α I look at the forms. I didn't look at the 21 denied log. 22 Mr. Williams, I think it's established by your 23 counsel, by Government's counsel, that you've had 24 extensive training, correct, from FLETC and on-the-job

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

25

training?

1 Α Yes. 2 Now you referred briefly to the instructions on 3 the Form 4473. With regard to those instructions 4 regarding what you refer to as I believe question 11(a) 5 on the 4473, is that the correct question --6 That's correct. 7 0 -- are you the actual buyer? 8 Α Are you the actual buyer of the firearm. 9 With regard to that question, 10 specifically, are there any exceptions listed on the 11 instructions on answering that question yes? 12 words, are different scenarios given on where a yes is an 13 appropriate answer?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If the individual, and it states right there in Α section 11(a), if the individual's picking up a repaired firearm and they're not the individual who brought the firearm in, well then they're not required to answer 11(a). They can leave it blank.

Are there any other scenarios that are listed on the instructions that are acceptable for answering yes to 11(a)?

In the instructions, notices and definitions refers to if the firearm is being given as a gift then the individual should list, should answer yes to question 11(a).

1 So who makes the determination of that intent 0 2 for a gift; is that up to the licensee, or is that up to 3 the person who's appearing before the licensee? Α No, it would be the individual --Who's appearing before the licensee. And also, but the licensee, because the licensee -- first of all, the licensee is the first line of defense just to make sure the firearm's kept out of prohibited persons. So if an individual who appears before the licensee within say a reasonable period of time, and I would definitely say a day would be a reasonable period of time, appears before the licensee and they attempt to purchase a firearm, they are denied. And then subsequently later on, someone else with the same last name, same address, same type of firearm attempts to purchase that same firearm then right there, there would be an indication of a reasonable cause to look at that individual and say hey, I have reason to believe that you're not purchasing this firearm for yourself. Well let's explore that because I think that's Q an excellent point.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

about the first line of defense and let's talk about what

urging -- you said first line of defense so let's talk

In your experience when the government is

the government's purpose is in trying to prevent straw purchases.

Every time that there may be a suspicion that a straw purchase is occurring or that there are elements that might give rise to a straw purchase, it's not necessarily a straw purchase, correct? In other words, the licensee in your mind has an obligation to vet further?

A Well, what we're talking about, we're talking about something that is, it's showing, it's in your face, it's something that (indiscernible).

Q Well sir, all I'm asking is does every scenario that gives even a whiff of a potential straw purchase, is that necessarily a straw purchase?

A Every scenario?

- Q Yeah, that might -- yeah, right.
- | A No, I wouldn't say every scenario.
 - Q Right. And so would you not agree that the licensee in your mind at least when they're put on notice of a potential straw purchase, they should make further inquiry at least?
 - A I would agree with that.
 - Q Going back to the record of violations or the Report of Violations, there is, and we don't want to get the numbers mixed up, but the first violation was for an

1 incorrectly recorded disposition of a firearm? 2 That's correct. Α 3 Just they transposed the name of a person? they get the serial number wrong or, you know, I'm just 4 5 trying to figure out what that violation was. 6 Α It was the disposition name and address. 7 So the underlying transaction was correct? 0 8 Α Right. 9 But they didn't get --Q 10 The name --11 -- maybe they left off the middle name or 12 perhaps something like that? 13 Well I don't know exactly what the information 14 as far as the name and address. They may have actually 15 left off the name and address, but the violation was for 16 failure to properly record the correct disposition name 17 and address. 18 Other than the rest of the violations in that 19 Report of Violations, if those had not existed for you as 20 an investigator and you had completed this inspection at 21 the Wolfchase store with just that one violation, in your 22 mind, would that have been a decent to fairly good 23 outcome for a licensee? 24 Α As far as an inspection of a licensee?

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

25

0

Yes.

1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. I now want to go onto some questions
3	that we had for the Government's witness.
4	The revocation notice that Dick's received
5	charged a violation of 18 U.S.C. 922(d) and 27 C.F.R.
6	478.99(c) stating that Dick's transferred the Mossberg
7	Maverick, I'm not going to repeat the serial number, to
8	Wayne Brady.
9	The Report of Violations cited the same
10	regulatory scheme but stated that after Mr. Brady was
11	denied, Mrs. Brady purchased the same firearm. Did
12	Dick's actually transfer the firearm to Mr. Brady or
13	Mrs. Brady, what's your testimony?
14	A Did Dick's actually transfer the firearm
15	Q Yes. Did they transfer dominion and control of
16	the firearm across their store counter to Mr. Brady or
17	Mrs. Brady?
18	A Okay. Now the clerk who was at the time
19	Q Mr. Krueger.
20	A Yes. He handed the firearm to Mrs. Brady.
21	Q So that's giving up dominion and control to
22	another human being, isn't it?
23	HEARING OFFICER: You asking him to make a
24	legal determination?
25	MR. BARNES: Well I

1 THE WITNESS: He handed the firearm to 2 The clerk handed the firearm to Mrs. Brady. Mrs. Brady. 3 BY MR. BARNES: 4 0 So who was the firearm transferred to, 5 Mrs. Brady or Mr. Brady? 6 The clerk handed the firearm to Mrs. Brady. 7 All right, so you're -- at this point, you 0 8 can't say who the transfer was to? 9 Α No. 10 You just say it was physically handed to --11 Α No, what I can say is that there was reasonable 12 cause to believe that the actual purchaser of the firearm 13 was Mr. Brady. 14 Q Then if Mrs. Brady actually received, if she 15 received the firearm in question, physically received the 16 firearm in question, please explain how a Dick's transfer 17 of a firearm to Mrs. Brady after she completed the 4473 and underwent a TICS check for which a proceed response 18 19 was received violated what you cited which was 478.99(c)? 20 Okay. First of all, what we're getting here to 21 is what we call a straw purchase, a straw purchase where 22 an individual who may or may not be prohibited from 23 possessing a firearm uses another person to obtain the 24 firearm for them where the actual purchase of the firearm

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

is the individual who was using the straw person to

25

obtain the firearm for them.

Mr. Brady, Mr. Jason Brady, stated on the form that he wanted to purchase this particular firearm on such and such a date, he was denied. Mrs. Brady came in subsequently, later on that day, and attempted to purchase that particular firearm on that day stating that she was purchasing the firearm for herself.

So what we have here is an individual who falsified the form which in turn made the Licensee's records incorrect, and the actual buyer or actual purchaser is Mr. Jason Brady.

- Q Mr. Williams, going back to your training at FLETC, were you instructed on the concept of willfulness?
 - A We've had discussions on willfulness with ATF.
- Q Okay. And how do you define willfulness for purposes of the Gun Control Act?

A Okay. Well as far as defining willfulness, there's nothing in the regulations that define willfulness.

However, in the letter that was issued out to you and your client -- if you refer back to the explanation of the hearing process, on the last page of the information of the hearing process, it refers to the last paragraph, first column, willful violations are those violations meeting statutory requirements for

1 denial or revocation. Willfulness as defined by the 2 courts, and again, by the courts means for purposeful 3 disregard of a known legal entity, known legal duty or 4 plain indifference to a licensee's legal obligation. 5 And if you read further, it'll state that ATF 6 is not required to prove you intended to violate the law 7 only that you knew your legal obligation as a licensee. 8 Q Again going back to your training at FLETC, did 9 anybody at FLETC --10 MS. LANCASTER: For the record, let's just 11 identify where he was reading from; it's Government 12 Number 5. 13 MR. BARNES: That's fine, thank you. 14 BY MR. BARNES: 15 Going back to your training at FLETC, were you ever instructed at FLETC that the statute was amended in 16 17 1986 by the Firearms Owners Protection Act, and that the legislative history talks about why the amendment was 18 19 made for willfulness. 20 And in section 103 which amended 18 U.S.C. 21 923(e), it's clear in the legislative debate that the 22 purpose of this change is to ensure that licenses are not 23 revoked for inadvertent errors or technical mistakes. 24 So is that concept of inadvertent error or

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

technical mistake ever brought out in your training at

25

FLETC?

2 A I don't remember.

Q Let's go back to the recordkeeping that you did review at Dick's.

If an FFL maintains an extra regulatory record like the proceed or the denial log that Dick's has which is referred to in one of the Government's exhibits, the certification statement that we looked at earlier in your testimony, and then the employees fails to make a record in that store so that a previously denied person could not be determined, would that make then a subsequent sale to another person who had the same last name and lived at the same address a violation of the Gun Control Act or its regulations?

In other words, to put it simply, if we can show, and we will show, that Mr. Krueger did not make an entry in the denial log and the procedure, the internal control procedure, in the store was for Mr. Krueger to come back in and check that denial log to prevent straw purchases, and indeed we'll present other evidence today about other internal controls to prevent straw purchases on a system-wide basis in this are of Tennessee, if he went and looked at that log and saw no last name, Brady, in the log and then proceeded to make the sale or the transfer to Mrs. Brady, would that still in your opinion

1 be a straw purchase, enabling a straw purchase? 2 Could you just repeat that again, please? Α 3 Q You presented, or counsel for the Government 4 introduced an extra regulatory document not required to 5 be kept by the Gun Control Act which --6 Α Are you referring to their sign-off sheet? 7 Q I am referring to sign-off sheet. 8 Α Okay. 9 And on that sign-off sheet, step number 1 which you went over, clearly refers to the retail personnel 10 11 going to the denial log to review the denial log. 12 purpose of that review as stated on the Government's 13 introduced exhibit is to ascertain whether or not there might be a possibility of a straw purchase; you would 14 15 agree with that, correct, that's what it says anyway? 16 Right, I would agree. 17 Q Right. If Mr. Krueger went to that log and as 18 a result of his own inadvertent error had failed to 19 record Mr. Jason Brady on that log, but still checked it 20 anyway, and then went and made the disposition to Mrs. Brady, would that still be a straw purchase in your 21 22 mind, in your opinion?

If he, where you say if he failed --

23

24

25

Mr. Krueger failed to put in, he had failed to put in the information about Jason Brady in the denial

log but as required by step number 1, he went to the log as he was making a gun transfer and checked the log and saw that there was no last name of Brady there; it was due to his own error, but it wasn't there, he had no consciousness, but assume for purposes of this question he had no consciousness, and then he still went made the disposition to Mrs. Brady, would that still be enabling the straw purchase?

A Two things when you refer to that question.

First of all, as far as a disposition log, a denial log,
a licensee is required by regulations to maintain the

forms either in alphabetical or chronological order for
all the denials.

- Q And you found those did you not at the store?
- A Yes, I did.
- Q And they were in good order, were they not?
- A As far as I can remember.
- Q Yes.

A Now, you have those forms, those forms are there for a reason. Not only that, you have the same individual who initiated the transaction at the very beginning. So you have the same individual and you have the denial forms.

So as far as a denial log, I mean, they could have whatever denial log they want, I mean, that's fine,

that's great. But a denial log is only as good as the information's that in it. But still the records that were required and that you're required to have are the denied files, denied forms.

Q Right, we all agree on that, sir. But let me ask you this. Are you aware of the Don't Lie For the Other Guy program?

A Yes, I am.

Q Right, and would it be your opinion that the purpose of that program is to create a partnership between ATF and the trade in order to prevent the illegal diversion of firearms for whatever reason, straw purchases, for whatever reason?

A Well I can't say for the program now, but I remember when it was initiated, and it was initiated as a partnership between ATF and the NSSF.

Q Right, and do you recall as a part of that initiative by ATF and by the trade that ATF encouraged the industry to come up with systems that would help spot straw purchase transactions outside of just maintaining the denial 4473s?

A Okay. I don't know about that, but I do know that ATF has always encouraged licensees to always be vigilant and be aware of straw purchases and anything pertaining to that matter.

1 Q So would it be your opinion, sir, that if any 2 retailer including my client, Dick's, had developed a 3 system --4 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Barnes, let's keep it, 5 let's tie it back into Count 1. I think we're straying 6 away from here. 7 MR. BARNES: Okay. Well --8 HEARING OFFICER: You're asking him about 9 opinions of what the industry should do in partnership 10 with the government and all that. Let's stick to 11 Count 1, please. 12 MR. BARNES: Okay, Mr. Reilly. I'm just trying 13 to focus in on the system that was being maintained to 14 spot straw purchases. Dick's -- and I'm going to ask counsel this. You did say that your documents were 15 16 supporting the other counts in the revocation, the first 17 exhibits that you just entered into the record I think 18 Group 1. 19 MS. LANCASTER: Counts 1 and 3 are both related 20 so the Hearing Officer requested that we do Allegation 1 21 and Allegation 2 then Allegation 3. And this is actually 22 intertwined with one and three. 23 MR. BARNES: So are you going to do further 24 direct with Mr. Williams on Count 2?

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Yes, but it'll be very short

MS. LANCASTER:

25

1	because it also relates to the other things. The only
2	thing I'm doing on Count 2 is I'm adding the
3	Acquisition/Disposition record and the name on it. But
4	it's also based on these other, all the other bases.
5	MR. BARNES: Well, I'll come back to two and
6	three then.
7	HEARING OFFICER: We don't have to go in the
8	order of one, two, three; if you want to go one, three
9	two or whatever, that's fine. But I'm just saying, we
10	pause at the end of each count to afford the opportunity
11	for cross.
12	MS. LANCASTER: Sure. Yeah so it doesn't get
13	so far a field.
14	I'm just saying that one and three are pretty
15	much the same, and we're not going to be going through a
16	repetition on three because we've already said it on one.
17.	HEARING OFFICER: Understood.
18	MR. BARNES: Okay. Well, let me stick on one.
19	BY MR. BARNES:
20	Q Mr. Williams, how do you know that Dick's
21	employees knew the gun was going to be transferred by
22	Laura Brady to Wayne Brady?
23	A How do I know?
24	Q Yes.

Well, as I said it before, you have the

25

Α

1	individual who was denied initially at the beginning of
2	the day and then you had another individual with the
3	identical last name, address, firearm by the same person
4	completed by the same person, within so many hours so
5	there was reasonable cause to believe that that firearm
6	was going to be in the hands of Mr. Jason Brady and not
7	Ms. Laura Brady.
8	Q Is it your position that a straw purchase was
9	still enabled even if that weren't the case, that
10	Mrs. Brady bought a gift or bought the gun for herself
11	and maintained dominion and control over the firearm?
12	A Well, like I stated, there was reasonable cause
13	to believe that this is what occurred.
14	Q Okay. So is it your position that a licensee
15	should lose their license even if there's no underlying
16	straw purchase? It's just simple question, sir.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Yeah, but it's not a question
18	that he Mr. Williams, did you make the determination
19	that you were going to revoke the license?
20	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
21	HEARING OFFICER: Did you make the
22	determination that this license was going to be revoked?
23	THE WITNESS: As far as are you saying
24	that

HEARING OFFICER: Who made the decision to

25

1	issue a Notice of Revocation?
2	THE WITNESS: Well, that decision as far as
3	is that what you're asking?
4	HEARING OFFICER: Yes. I'm asking who made the
5	decision in the Nashville Field Division to issue a
6	Notice of Revocation. Would that be the Director of
7	Industry Operations?
8	THE WITNESS: As far as final decision?
9	HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
10	THE WITNESS: As far as once everything has
11	been determined?
12	HEARING OFFICER: No, sir. To issue the
13	initial Notice of Revocation that we're here today, yes.
14	THE WITNESS: To issue the notice?
15	HEARING OFFICER: Right.
16	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm trying to, I was
17	trying to understated exactly what you were saying but to
18	issue the notice then yes, it is the Director who makes
19	the final decision to issue the Notice of Revocation.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
21	MR. BARNES: Mr. Williams, is it a violation of
22	the Gun Control Act for a non-prohibited person who owns
23	firearms to live at the same address as a prohibited
24	person, assuming they maintain dominion and control over
25	the guns?

_	62
1	MS. LANCASTER: Could you use a little more
2	common language than dominion and control.
3	THE WITNESS: Well
4	MR. BARNES: Okay.
5	BY MR. BARNES:
6	Q A lawful, we have a lawful gun owner in one, in
7	a house
8	A In a house.
9	Q and there's a person who may not have access
10	to have firearms for any number of reasons, can the
11	lawful gun owner
12	A They don't have access or control of the
13	firearm?
14	Q Correct.
15	A Okay. Then no, there shouldn't be any issue
16	with that.
17	Q Thank you. Does an FFL have a legal duty to
18	maintain a training manual, standard operating procedures
19	and/or training for employees under the regulations?
20	A Under the regulations?
21	Q Yeah.
22	A No, they don't have no, they would
23	(indiscernible).
24	Q Does an FFL have a legal duty to maintain a
25	multiple-sale tracking system, not multiple handgun
1	

1 reporting, but a system that tracks buyers in general? 2 Α No. 3 Q Can you tell me how the Dick's employees 4 behaved during the inspection? 5 Α They were cordial, very helpful, friendly and 6 professional. 7 I don't want to tread back over old territory, but how were the stores' other compliance operations or 8 9 their general compliance, firearms compliance, operations 10 in general? I mean, did they appear to be organized with 11 their inventory and other things that, I mean, you were 12 doing book to gun and gun to book, did that go fairly 13 smoothly? 14 Everything else appeared to be (indiscernible). 15 Q In your experience, do you examine other Dick's 16 stores in Tennessee besides Wolfchase, do you do audits 17 and inspections? 18 I can't think of another one that was assigned Α 19 to me as a compliance, but I do remember assisting on one 20 recently within the past 12 months. 21 During the course of your inspection, did you Q 22 check on whether or not they had any unanswered trace 23 requests from that store? Was that a part of your 24 inspection? 25 Α Yes.

1	Q And how did they do there?
2	A There were no issues.
3	MR. BARNES: All right. Thank you, Mr. Reilly,
4	I'll hold the rest of my questions.
5	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Lancaster, redirect?
6	MS. LANCASTER: Briefly.
7	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. LANCASTER:
9	Q We talked about the TICS log which is
10	Government Number 12, and it was established that the
11	Licensee would not have access to this particular
12	document, correct?
13	A That's correct.
14	Q Would the Licensee, however, have access to all
15	the information that is recorded on Government 12?
16	A Well, as far as having access, TICS sends out a
17	bill to all their licensees monthly which has all of
18	their approvals and denials that they can check against.
19	I don't know if that has the, what other
20	information there may there's this listing, I know you
21	have a transaction number, I think you have a response.
22	So I know they send out a monthly bill that has
23	everything that occurred within that month.
24	And again, the printouts, the TICS printouts,
25	when they conduct a background check.

1 When you said the printouts, what 2 specifically are you referring to? 3 Α The response sheets for approval or denials. 4 Those are those papers that were attached --5 Α Attached to (indiscernible). 6 -- to the Forms 4473 that we saw earlier, one 7 for Jason Brady that showed denial and one for 8 Laura Brady that showed approval? 9 Right, correct. Α 10 MS. LANCASTER: That's all. 11 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Government can proceed 12 in either direction they want. 13 MS. LANCASTER: Do we want, I can --HEARING OFFICER: We've been at it for an hour 14 15 and a half so (indiscernible) a break. If someone needs 16 a break, they just got to let me know; it's not a big 17 deal. 18 MR. BARNES: It just depends. I was going to 19 suggest a bathroom break, but if counsel is going to be 20 relatively --21 MS. LANCASTER: Well, I would say this is what 22 we have going on here and we can decide. 23 It's going to be really quick to present Allegation Number 2 which is going to be just adding the 24 25 A&D record to what's already been entered into evidence

and then just a few general things.

So it's going to be fairly fast for our remaining presentation, and we're fine to take a break now and come back and do 15-20 more minutes and then whatever cross you have so whatever preference is.

MR. BARNES: Let me ask if my group needs a break 'cause I'd rather just get the 15 minutes in then we can come back and do the defense.

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

MS. LANCASTER: That way you'll have time to confer with your client and go forth if you wish.

MR. BARNES: Yes.

MS. LANCASTER: All right. And Allegation Number 2 is the false information in the Acquisition/Disposition record as to the purchaser.

BY MS. LANCASTER:

Q I'm showing you what's marked as Government

Number 9, and I'll ask you to take a moment to look at

that; and also if you would look back at Government

Number 7 which we've not entered yet, your Report of

Violations, the second violation that you listed on that

and tell us if it does, how Government Number 9 would

relate to the second violation you recorded onto the

Report of Violations?

A Okay. What this, this regulation refers to

1	recordkeeping. The information that's listed on the
2	Acquisition and Disposition log is Ms. Laura Brady, and
3	Ms. Laura Brady as far as the actual purchaser is not the
4	actual purchaser. So what we have here is the
5	information in the A&D log book of the individual is not
6	the actual purchaser of the firearm.
7	Q What exactly is recorded on Government Number 9
8	as the purchaser?
9	A Laura Brady.
10	Q And based on all the other documentation that
11	you've reviewed in accordance with Allegation 1 and 3
12	that we've already talked about, who should be listed
13	there as the actual purchaser?
14	A Mr. Jason Brady.
15	MS. LANCASTER: All right. I'll enter into
16	evidence at this time Government 7 and 9.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Government Exhibits 7 and 9
18	entered into the record.
19	(Whereupon, Government's
20	Exhibit Numbers 7 and 9
21	were received into
22	evidence.)
23	MS. LANCASTER: And I have some more questions
24	that really relate kind of to everything here. I'll
25	briefly go through.

BY MS. LANCASTER:

Q If you look at Government 13, that's the acknowledgement. Almost at the end; yeah, that's the one, you got it right there. It's that one, first page.

A Okay.

Q Tell us what that document is?

A Okay. This is the acknowledgement of Federal Firearms Regulations. This form is completed at every application and every compliance inspection. It's a review of the topics that are listed in the Federal Firearms Regulations book as well as rulings. And there's also a page number for those who are not familiar yet with using the regulation site itself.

At the very end, we ask the licensee or applicant to sign and date the form stating that they have reviewed the form, also checking or initialing the boxes indicating all the sections that they have reviewed, that we have reviewed together.

And at the very end of it, end of the second page, we have our website where we inform the licensee or applicant where they can go and obtain even more information as well as our contact numbers, our direct lines to the IOI who conducted the inspection or application inspection as well as the area office numbers and additional phone numbers to the licensing center and

also other additional phone numbers.

Q When you say that it has page numbers on there and that's referring to the Federal Firearms Regulations Reference Guide, are you referring to what we normally call the white book; ATF Publication 5300.4, September, 2005?

A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q And is this document related to the explanation that you gave earlier of your review of the overall summary of the basic requirements for a licensee when you conduct a compliance inspection?

A Yes, it is.

Q And is it the same as what you do in conducting an application inspection or a qualification inspection for an applicant for a Federal Firearms License?

A Yes, it is.

Q Did you obtain a copy of the acknowledgement that was associated with your conducting the qualification inspection for this particular location of Dick's Sporting Goods?

- A Yes, I did.
- 22 || Q Do you have a copy today?
- 23 A I do not have a copy today.
- 24 Q And why do you not have it today?
- 25 | A Because that would be in the inspection file.

1 MS. LANCASTER: I'll offer into evidence 2 Government Number 13. 3 HEARING OFFICER: Government Exhibit 13 entered 4 into the record. 5 (Whereupon, Government's 6 Exhibit Number 13 was 7 marked for identification 8 and received into 9 evidence.) 10 BY MS. LANCASTER: 11 I'm showing you now Government 14; if you would take a moment to look at that. Tell us what that is for 12 13 identification. 14 Okay. This is a Firearms Transaction Record, the ATF Form 4473. It's utilized whenever a transfer 15 16 occurs between licensed, a Federal Firearms Licensee and 17 a non-licensed individual. Section A is completed by the non-licensee, by the transferee; and Sections B and D are 18 19 completed by the licensee. 20 At the every end, both individuals, the 21 non-licensee and the licensee, will sign and date the 22 form stating that they have, they understand what's on 23 the form basically, and that they've done everything to

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

assure that everything was true and correct on this form,

and they also understand that there are fines and

24

25

possible punishment that goes along with falsifying the form.

Q And this a blank form that we have, a sample form?

A Yes, it is.

Q Does that form, which does include the instructions unlike those that we put into evidence earlier, does those instructions have any reference to information regarding the actual buyer or true buyer portion of that document?

A Yes.

Q If you would turn to that and give us just a very brief overview of what's contained there for instructions?

A Okay. On page 4, column 1 under question 11(a), if refers to actual transferee/buyer. And with this, it states for purposes of this form you are the actual transferee/buyer if you're purchasing the firearm for yourself or otherwise acquiring the firearm for yourself. Examples, redeem the firearm from pawn, retrieving from consignment firearm, rifle (indiscernible). You're also the actual transferee/buyer if you are legitimately purchasing the firearm as a gift for a third party. Then it gives examples.

Mr. Smith asked Mr. Jones to purchase a firearm

for Mr. Smith. Mr. Smith gives Mr. Jones the money for 2 the firearm. Mr. Jones is not the actual buyer, not the 3 actual transferee/buyer of the firearm and may answer, 4 and must answer no to question 11(a). 5 The licensee may not transfer the firearm to 6 Mr. Jones; however, if Mr. Brown gave it to, goes to buy 7 a firearm with his own money to give to Mr. Black as a 8 present then Mr. Brown's the actual transferee/buyer of 9 the firearm and should answer yes to question 11(a). 10 However, you may not transfer a firearm to any person you 11 know or have reasonable cause to believe it's prohibited 12 under 18 U.S.C. 922(g) and/or (x). 13 Then it notes the exception, if you are picking 14 up a repaired firearm for another person, you are not 15 required to answer 11(a) and may proceed to question 16 11(b). 17 Does this information relate to what you have 18 referred to as a straw purchase earlier in your 19 descriptions? 20 Α Yes, it does. 21 MS. LANCASTER: All right, that's all the 22 questions I have. 23

HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

24

25

MS. LANCASTER: And I'll enter Government 14.

(Whereupon, Government's

1 Exhibit Number 14 was 2 marked for identification 3 and received into 4 evidence.) 5 MR. BARNES: Thank you, Mr. Reilly. 6 RECROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BARNES: 8 Mr. Williams, did you recommend in your field 9 report revocation of the license? 10 Α On this one, in my recommendation, no, I 11 didn't. 12 Thank you, sir. Going back to question 11(a) 13 that you just read from the 4473 instructions, could you 14 -- let me ask it this way. 15 If a licensee picked up the telephone and called you at the area office and said I have a 16 17 Mrs. Jones appearing before me right now who wishes to 18 buy the firearm for her own account, but Mr. Jones had 19 come in earlier in the day, but she has stated 20 unequivocally she's using her own money and she has a 21 hunt that she needs to attend to and she must have the 22 gun, how would you advise the licensee? 23 How would I advise the licensee? Α 24 Q Yeah. 25 Α Well, first of all, I would tell the licensee

as a Federal Firearm Licensee, you are to identify who you're transferring the firearm to.

If you feel comfortable making that transfer because you've identified that individual and you know who you're transferring the firearm to and the individual states that they are purchasing the firearm for themselves, well then at that point, I would probably tell them there's a section in, there's an area in Section D of the form for notes by the licensee. I would record all that information there and put the reason why.

And if I'm pretty certain that as far as I know this individual and I know that they're going to be person who's going to maintain control --

- Q In other words if they're credible?
- A Exactly. Then I would probably tell them that in those instances --
- Q They can proceed with --
- A If you're certain then I would note all that and I would put it there, and I would go ahead and make that transfer.
- Q So for you a best practice would be to create a sort of contemporaneous record in, what is it block 31 or 30a, I can't remember?
 - A Or on the form itself.
 - Q Well, yeah, there's a notes column there, sure.

1 But again, you're identifying your customer Α 2 and if you have no way to know that information then --3 So 30c might be the appropriate place to put 4 that, the notes area? 5 Exactly. But again, like I say, you're 6 identifying your customer because an identification, it 7 states that you're identifying your customer. And the last statement before the licensee signs off states that 8 they verified the identity of the customer and that they 9 10 can tell that from all indications that they are not 11 prohibited. 12 If they can't do that, then I would advise them 13 -- if I can't verify that information and even though 14 they're coming in to my premises, on my licensed 15 premises, then I would definitely tell them that I 16 wouldn't make that transfer. 17 Q The Gun Control Act doesn't require us to make a sale of a firearm for any reason, does it? 18 19 No, it doesn't. Exactly. 20 Now going back to the Federal Firearms 21 Regulations checklist that you referred to, sir, I assume 22 you've done this many, many times both with licensing 23 inspections and with the operational inspections, at the 24 end of the operational inspection?

 ${\tt A} {\tt Yes.}$

25

1 Q Can you tell me what's the approximate size 2 of the white book in pages, just a rough guess? 3 Pages? 4 Q Yeah. 5 Α No, I couldn't. 6 Okav. It wouldn't surprise you if I told you 7 it was probably a little bit near 200 or over 200 pages? 8 Α (indiscernible). 9 So when you're going over each of these areas 10 of the law, is it fair to say that you're making them 11 situationally aware of that area of the regulation and if they have questions at that moment, they can ask you 12 13 further questions about it? 14 They can ask me questions at any time during Α 15 the acknowledgement process or afterwards. 16 prefer that questions are asked afterwards, but I've 17 never denied any licensee to ask questions during the process because they always have questions. And because 18 19 they always have questions, it always goes on longer than 20 normally what it would actually take. 21 During the course of going over the form, 22 however, with them, do you point out to them that you're 23 going to have to go read the regulation or get further

information if you want to go into depth on the subject because isn't it a true statement that you couldn't

24

25

possibly cover every area of the law here even in two hours?

A Right, that is a true statement because again it's an acknowledgement and we're going through each and every section, but we're not reading every section of the law because if we did that then no, there is no way that we would complete that --

Q A week.

- A -- in that two-hour timeframe.
- Q Right. Now, sir, when you went over this with the Licensee at the Wolfchase store, were there any particular areas of compliance or operations that you recall that, you know, struck you as peculiar for instance that they didn't know that or they should have known that?

A I don't remember. But I know every licensee always has questions so whatever questions were asked at that time, I'm sure they were addressed.

Q Right. The Gun Control Act of 1968 requires that information be recorded into the Acquisition and Disposition recordkeeping for the purchaser of a firearm that's appearing personally before the licensee.

We have procedures for non-personal appearances but they're extremely rare; I'm from Alaska, occasionally they're used up there. So the applicant has to appear

personally before the licensee at their premises for the disposition of the firearm.

What is your legal position then or what is your position as an investigator on what should be entered into the recordkeeping even though a firearm is being physically transferred to the person who's filled out a 4473?

In other words what I'm trying to ask is what would you have expected Dick's to have entered into their required federal recordkeeping despite the fact that Mrs. Brady filled out the 4473 and that's when the firearm was actually transferred to another person?

Because Congress is pretty clear, they say that's the information that's to go into the record.

A This occurred as far as when you say -- had the actual buyer appeared before the Licensee at the time of transfer then that is the information that would have been recorded in the Acquisition and Disposition log.

- Q Mrs. Brady was not the actual buyer because?
- A Because Mr. Jason Brady was the actual buyer. I had reasonable cause to believe that Mr. Jason Brady was the actual buyer.
- Q Put another way. In terms of the required recordkeeping for entering this information into Dick's required recordkeeping, have you in any other instance

1	that you can recall today for any other inspection that
2	you've ever performed cited these exact provisions as a
3	violation for a licensee enabling or you believing they
4	had reasonable cause to believe they weren't enabling a
5	straw purchase?
6	Do you recall ever citing these provisions in
7	any other Report of Violations?
8	A I can't remember.
9	MR. BARNES: I have no further questions.
10	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Lancaster?
11	MS. LANCASTER: No. I think break times good
12	and then we'll have one more witness to (indiscernible)
13	through.
14	HEARING OFFICER: The time is 11:03. We'll
15	take a short recess.
16	(OFF THE RECORD)
17	(ON THE RECORD)
18	HEARING OFFICER: Okay, the time is 11:18.
19	This is the resumption of the Dick's Number 375
20	revocation hearing. Ms. Lancaster.
21	MS. LANCASTER: Thank you. I'm calling my next
22	witness.
23	(Whereupon,
24	RICHARD HOWARD
25	was called as a witness, and after having been first duly

1 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:) 2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. LANCASTER: 4 Q If you would please state your full name? 5 Α Richard Anthony Howard; I go by Rick. 6 And if you would spell your last name for the 7 record. 8 Α Sure, H-O-W-A-R-D. 9 How are you currently employed, Mr. Howard? 10 Special agent with the Bureau of Alcohol, 11 Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives here in Memphis, 12 Tennessee. 13 How long have you been in that position? 14 Six years, two months. Α 15 What just briefly are you duties and 16 responsibilities as a special agent? 17 Α Investigate firearms, federal crimes, a little bit of drug crime, arsons, explosives. Right now I'm 18 19 working with a gang here in Memphis trying to get 20 something going on with some local street gangs. 21 Q Now were you involved in any way in an 22 investigation by ATF related to Dick's Sporting Goods at 23 Germantown Parkway in Memphis? 24 Α Yes. 25 Could you tell us what if anything you were

1	asked to do in that investigation?
2	A I was sent out for a NICS retrieval on a
3	firearm that was purchased in Ayre (ph.), here locally.
4	Q Could you tell us what you mean by the term
5	NICS retrieval?
6	A It's the only one I've done here. We don't
7	have a lot of them in Memphis. Someone had purchased a
8	firearm, and they believed that the person was prohibited
9	so we were supposed to get the firearm back from that
10	person.
11	Q In other words retrieve it, correct?
12	A Right, retrieve the firearm.
13	Q And that was to prevent a prohibited person
14	from being in further possession of that firearm?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Who gave you the assignment to retrieve that
17	firearm?
18	A My RAC at the time, Marcus Watson.
19	Q And do you recall what the description of the
20	firearm was just basically?
21	A It was a Mossberg 12 gauge. I don't remember
22	off the top of my head the model.
23	Q Did you actually obtain the firearm that you
24	were asked to retrieve?
25	A No. We spoke to the person who had possession

1 of it, Mr. Brady, and he had signed the weapon over in May of 2013 to Memphis Police Department. 2 3 Did you follow up to find out any information from Memphis Police Department as to whether they had in 4 5 fact obtained that firearm? 6 Yes. While I was still with Mr. Brady, I was 7 able to get on their database and see that a report was 8 filed, they had taken possession of it. And I called the 9 property and evidence room here in Memphis, and they said they still had possession of it at that time. 10 11 Q So when you say the property/evidence room, 12 that's Memphis Police Department property/evidence? 13 Memphis maintains it, but Shelby County --14 every municipality here in Shelby County uses it. It's 15 basically like an all-in-one evidence locker. 16 When you said Mr. Brady, do you recall his 17 first name? 18 James, I believe. 19 And did you speak with him regarding his 20 firearm whether or not he had that firearm, or whether or 21 not anyone in his household had the firearm? 22 Α Yes, I did. 23 Did anyone else accompany you on that visit to 24 retrieve the firearm? 25 Α Jason Crenshaw, another special agent here in

1 the office. 2 And do you recall approximately when you went 3 to visit the Brady household? 4 Α I think it was September 20th of '13. 5 Do you recall where that was? 6 It was 70 Grove Dale in Memphis, Tennessee. 7 It's kind of out by Baptist Hospital near 240 and Walnut 8 Grove. 9 Did you ask Mr. Brady anything about the 10 Mossberg shotgun? 11 Α We asked him about the purchase of it. 12 He said he went, he was going to go on a dove hunt with some, I don't remember if it was a relative or some 13 14 friends, and he went to go buy a shotgun so he didn't 15 have to borrow one. He said he went to Dick's, applied, 16 did a little paperwork. 17 He had purchased guns previously, and he had, 18 can still carry a permit here in Tennessee so he just 19 went through the normal procedures.

He said he paid for it and then it came back denied which he was, he said he was in shock. I believe he's a fireman locally, either in Memphis or Shelby County, so he had no indication that he would have any issues with purchasing it.

20

21

22

23

25

So he said he went home and told his wife, and

his wife just said well, you need the gun, I'll go back 2 and get it for you later. So he didn't, his belief that 3 they didn't think they did anything wrong at that time. 4 0 What he told you regarding wanting a firearm 5 for that dove hunt, did he tell you about wanting it for 6 any other purpose? 7 No, he just said for the dove hunt. He was --Α he only owned one other firearm at the time, I think a 8 9 Taurus pistol. 10 Do you know whether or not Memphis Police 11 Department obtained all the firearms in that household 12 when they obtained that Mossberg? 13 Yes, they took the Mossberg, a Taurus pistol 14 and some ammunition out of the house. 15 To your knowledge was it ever returned to the Q 16 Brady household? 17 No, it was not. They were told not to go get Α 18 those firearms, and we had -- I spoke with the property and evidence that if somebody came to get them, they'd 19 20 notify me, it's supposed to be in the file. 21 Did Mr. Brady mention anything to you about 22 attempting to purchase that firearm as a gift for anyone? 23 Α No, he said he was going to use it for a No. 24 dove hunt.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription

25

D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Did he say whether or not anyone else

1 eventually purchased the same make and model of shotgun 2 as he had tried to purchase? 3 Α Yeah. Like I said earlier, his wife, 4 Laura Brady, went and picked up the Mossberg 12 gauge 5 later on that afternoon, or later on that day. 6 And when you say later on that day, what day 7 are you referring to? 8 I want to say it was November 6th of '12, I 9 believe, of 2012. 10 That was the same day that Mr. Brady had 11 attempted to purchase it? 12 Α Yes. 13 Did you speak with the wife, Laura Brady, 14 Mr. Brady's wife? 15 Α No, we did not. 16 And why did you not speak with her? 17 Α She was at work at the time, and we were there 18 on the retrieval just to get the firearm since he was 19 prohibited. So at that point, I told my RAC the gun was 20 in custody. I talked to the AUSA at that time, 2.1 Jennifer Weber. They were both fine with it being in 22 custody and we were for our purposes done that day. 23 And just for the record when you say RAC, what does that refer to?

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Oh, Resident Agent in Charge. I'm sorry, the

25

Α

1	person in charge of my group here in Memphis.
2	Q Your supervisor?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And the AUSA refers to?
5	A Assistant United States Attorney.
6	MS. LANCASTER: All right, no other questions.
7	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Barnes.
8	CROSS EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. BARNES:
10	Q Okay Special Agent Howard, has the
11	investigation been completed with regard to the Brady's?
12	A Yeah. We opened a general case on it as a
13	retrieval of firearm and since it was already out of his
14	custody, it was completed that day for us.
15	Q Did you and Special Agent Crenshaw ever attempt
16	to interview Mrs. Brady subsequently after that day?
17	A No.
18	Q Okay. And you testified that the Memphis
19	Police Department still has the weapon in their custody?
20	A Yes.
21	Q When you how long did you speak with
22	Mr. Brady when he was present?
23	A We probably talked to him for about
24.	20-25 minutes.
25	Q Were you aware prior to your being dispatched
1	

over for the next retrieval what his prohibited status 1 2 was, what the reason was for his prohibited status? 3 Α I believe that, the information given to me that day through our intelligence section had that he was 4 5 prohibited for, something through the VA hospital. 6 Mental health? 7 Α Yeah. 8 Q Okay. So when you interviewed him, was he 9 responsive, was he cogent, was he lucid? 10 Α Yeah, he seemed okay. 11 0 Okay. Did you make a report of the incident in 12 a record of interview? 13 Α I did. 14 MR. BARNES: But the Government has not 15 introduced that record of interview, is that correct? 16 MS. LANCASTER: Correct. 17 BY MR. BARNES: 18 Did you or Special Agent Crenshaw interview the Memphis PD officers who went over and retrieved the 19 20 weapons? 21 Α No, sir. 22 And you said there were two firearms, Okay. 23 the Mossberg, the subject Mossberg shotgun, and perhaps a 24 Taurus pistol but you're not sure? 25 Α I'm 90 percent sure it was a Taurus pistol, but

1 I would have to look at the, what actually MPD took. He said they had taken a pistol from him and a shotgun. 2 3 And then in the report from Memphis PD showed a pistol, I believe it was the Taurus, but I don't remember the exact 5 particulars of it. 6 At the time that you did interview Mr. Brady, 7 he did not mention to the best of your recollection that his wife, Laura Brady, was the person going on the dove 8 9 hunt? 10 No. 11 Q Now, sir, you went out in September of 2013, is 12 that your testimony? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Okay. And the gun had been purchased in Q 15 November of 2012, is that correct? 16 Yes, sir. Α 17 Q Was there a reason for the delay in going out 18 and getting the firearm? 19 It would have nothing to do with me. 20 notified -- I reviewed this earlier. I was in FLETC 21 teaching at our academy. I got notification on the 22nd 22 of August that this NICS retrieval had come in. I wasn't 23 due back until the 27th and then we had a holiday so I

I had some cases that had stacked up so I got

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

came back to work on the 3rd of September.

24

25

1	those cleared out before I went. The due date for this
2	NICS retrieval was November 7th of '13 at the time. So I
3	just, I fitted it somewhere in my schedule then.
4	Q I see. When you checked with Memphis PD, were
5	they able to tell you what date the shotgun was entered
6	into the property room
7	A It was on the
8	Q or approximately?
9	A It's on the report. It was in May of 2013. I
10	don't remember the exact day, I'm sorry for that.
11	Q Okay. So you went out in September of 2013,
12	Memphis PD apparently did a retrieval in May of 2013?
13	A Right.
14	Q Okay. Did you or Special Agent Crenshaw, were
15	you ever asked to interview any of the Dick's employees
16	who may have been a party to the transaction?
17	A No.
18	MR. BARNES: That'll be it, Mr. Reilly. Thank
19	you.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Anything on redirect?
21	MS. LANCASTER: Just a couple of questions,
22	thank you.
23	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MS. LANCASTER:

1 Department made regarding their obtaining the firearms 2 from the household? 3 I did. 4 Q And do you recall the reason that they obtained 5 all the firearms from the Brady household? 6 They were called for a disturbance between 7 Mr. Brady and his wife. And while they were there, I 8 think it's the normal process, they check the parties to 9 make sure they don't have any warrants and it came up 10 that he was a prohibited person. So they asked him to 11 sign the weapons over and he did willfully. 12 Do you know if they obtained any ammunition as 13 well as the two firearms? 14 Α I believe there's ammunition, but I don't 15 remember how much or what caliber. 16 MS. LANCASTER: All right, that's all. 17 MR. BARNES: Mr. Reilly, may I just ask one 18 recross? 19 HEARING OFFICER: 20 RECROSS EXAMINATION 21 MR. BARNES: On that report, was there any 22 indication of who exercised control over a particular 23 firearm? Do you recall anything like this is my pistol, that's her shotgun, anything like that? 25 THE WITNESS: I don't recall that, I'm sorry.

1	MR. BARNES: Okay. We have to look at the
2	report to see what the report said, all right.
3	Thank you, Mr. Reilly.
4	HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Lancaster, is this
5	witness excused?
6	MS. LANCASTER: The witness is excused, and the
7	Government rests in case.
8	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, SA Howard, you're
9	excused.
10	And the Government has concluded its
11	presentation. Mr. Barnes, are you ready to go?
12	MR. BARNES: I am, but for the convenience of
13	the Hearing Officer, if I can ask Ms. Lancaster, instead
14	of introducing redundant exhibits, I just want to make
15	sure that the Government's exhibits
16	HEARING OFFICER: Yes, we did
17	MR. BARNES: would stand for my exhibits.
18	MS. LANCASTER: Absolutely. What we do in that
19	situation to be clear, if you want to rely on a
20	Government exhibit, you just say Government Number 12,
21	and we mark it in the record as Licensee 1 and
22	Government 12 and that way it's clear you are also
23	relying on that same exhibit.
24	MR. BARNES: If you wouldn't mind, I'll give
25	you the list that you introduced and then you can cross

1	reference them. That'll just go a lot more
2	efficiently.
3	HEARING OFFICER: And just for the record, we
4	did what do we need to get in Exhibit, Government
5	Exhibit 14, I think is let's just say that Government
6	Exhibits 10 through 14 are entered into the record.
7	(Whereupon, Government's
8	Exhibit Numbers 10 through
9	14 were received into
10	evidence.)
11	HEARING OFFICER: Okay?
12	MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
13	MR. BARNES: Yeah, no problem.
14	HEARING OFFICER: And I can give you something
15	to mark yours if you need it.
16	MR. BARNES: Sure.
17	MS. LANCASTER: And it's fine to put those
18	labels onto the same Government ones as well just, you
19	know, right beside it or whatever so it's clear so that
20	we have a good, a clean record.
21	MR. BARNES: Ms. Lancaster, if I could just, if
22	we can stipulate to these exhibits which I will rely on.
23	MS. LANCASTER: Sure.
24	MR. BARNES: The Notice of Revocation, the
25	request for the hearing, the notice of the hearing, the

1	Report of Violations, both sets of ATF Forms 4473, the
2	TICS log and those would be duplicative exhibits.
3	MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
4	MR. BARNES: So Notice of Revocation, request
5	for the hearing, notice of the hearing, Report of
6	Violations
7	MS. LANCASTER: Both of those notices 'cause
8	MR. BARNES: Both of the notices.
9	MS. LANCASTER: the rescheduling is two
10	separate things so better to specify both.
11	MR. BARNES: Yeah, all notices. Right.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Okay, the ROV?
13	MR. BARNES: Yeah, the ROV and the 4473s and
14	the TICS log and that'll
15	HEARING OFFICER: The TICS log?
16	MR. BARNES: Yeah, Tennessee Instant Check
17	System log.
18	MS. LANCASTER: And the TICS log, you're
19	talking about this one, 12?
20	MR. BARNES: Yes, I am, that's right, that's
21	correct. Okay. Is that acceptable to the Government?
22	MS. LANCASTER: Absolutely.
23	MR. BARNES: Okay. With that then Mr. Reilly,
24	I'm ready to proceed with our first defense witness.
25	HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
- 1	1

1 MR. BARNES: So I'm calling Kevin Dodson. 2 (Whereupon, 3 KEVIN DODSON was called as a witness, and after having been first duly 4 5 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:) 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BARNES: 8 And if we're ready to proceed, Mr. Dodson, I'll 9 ask you to state your name for the record, please? 10 It's Kevin Dodson, D-O-D-S-O-N. 11 And your position with Dick's Sporting Goods? 12 I am the manager of Loss Prevention/Compliance 13 & Logistics. 14 And can you explain to the Hearing Officer what 15 that means exactly in terms of your national 16 responsibilities? 17 Sure. I have responsibility for all the policies and procedures related to the sale of firearms 18 19 at Dick's Sporting Goods across the country as well as in 20 our distribution centers, how we sell firearms, how we, 21 you know, acquire firearms at our distribution center the 22 whole way through the chain to the (indiscernible). 23 And do you spend time in the field ensuring that those policies are met and are carried out? 25 Α Yes, I spend time. I have a supervisor that

1	works for me that also spends time, and we have a field
2	group of district loss prevention managers that conduct
3	routine audits throughout the year to validate that we're
4	in compliance.
5	Q As a part of that responsibility, is it your
6	job to review the Federal Firearms laws and the
7	regulations, and to come up with practices and procedures
8	that would fully comply with those laws and regulations?
9	A Yes, in addition to state laws and regulations
10	as well.
11	Q With regard to those practices and procedures,
12	would one of them be how to spot, reduce and hopefully
13	eliminate attempted straw purchases at Dick's stores?
14	A Yes, absolutely.
15	Q Okay. So can you describe to me what those
16	methods are? And it may be helpful if we ask you to
17	refer to our Exhibit Number 1, the Dick's gun manual, and
18	I'll mark that for you to refer to.
19	(Whereupon, Licensee's
20	Exhibit Number 1 was marked
21	for identification.)
22	THE WITNESS: Probably the first thing,
23	anything that we do for a straw purchase recognitions are
24	our training, our internal training. We have a couple of

25 || different training resources that we utilize.

We have a Learning Management System which is a computer application training program. And we have a gun sellers certification course that everybody that sells firearms complete and pass a test with 100 percent. And part of that is a straw purchase scenario based, there are videos, it's a scenario based training that -- it's really, it was created in house by us to focus on transactions that we see on a pretty routine basis at Dick's Sporting Goods. And in addition to that, everybody has to watch the Don't Lie For the Other Guy video related to, you know, straw purchases, a video that they get and the NSSF provided to us in all our stores. laws of the United States but Dick's procedures?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And can you tell me what's your experience with the Wolfchase store in terms of their core competencies that they have to acquire in complying with not only the

They were fine. They were as good as anybody, Α any other store in the company.

Okay. You said that you've encountered straw purchases, can you just walk the Hearing Officer through what the standard operating procedure is for spotting, identifying, interdicting and reporting?

Α Okay. So we utilize a denied/delayed log we call it and any time a person comes in and they're either

put on a delayed -- and we don't release firearms under the three business days so people can stay on the delayed log for a pretty extended period.

Any time somebody's delayed or denied, we list them on a log book, and we maintain that log book. And depending on the store, at the location where they conduct the background checks.

So if it's a store that utilizes an online background check system, it would be maintained with the computer. For the background checks that are done in (indiscernible) utilizes a file that's maintained at (indiscernible).

And our policy is any time that somebody gets denied, delayed or listed in the log, any time we sell a gun, we check that log and look for same address; similar address; same last name; in some cases, same gun.

And then we also utilize a BOLO program that we call it because we have a lot of stores in the vicinity. So if somebody gets denied at one store depending on distance to the closest store, there's a phone tree that they have to utilize to call stores that are pretty close because what we see is they'll get denied at this store and they'll just drive 20 minutes to the other store and try to have somebody go in and purchase the firearm.

So we send out a BOLO with a physical

description and denied information.

MR. BARNES: Well if I may, I'd like to introduce Licensee's Exhibit Number 2 which is a whole system of documents. And if you can walk the Hearing Officer through what you just described and describe this system of documents as Licensee Exhibit Number 2.

And I'm handing the Government now a copy of the same thing.

(Whereupon, Licensee's
Exhibit Number 2 was marked
for identification.)

THE WITNESS: So this is the operational procedure that they keep in the front of the binder just so that they are aware of --

BY MR. BARNES:

Q When you refer to they, you mean each store?

A Each store, sorry. Each store keeps it in their denied/delayed folder. And this document walks them through how to use the log, where to list or what to list in the log as well as the quarterly maintenance.

Because some of the delays turn into a proceed so to keep the information small so it's easier to reference, we purge that every quarter by just re-adding the denied purchases and the current active delayed purchases into the log and purge out all the proceed

transactions.

. 2

2.0

And then with the straw purchase alert that I referred to as the BOLO, we send it to all stores in the district.

Q Do you have a sample of the BOLO form in that system of interdicting straw purchases?

A Here's a sample of the denied/delayed log. And it contains the last name, first name, street address, city and state, zip, the date, the NTN number if there's one provided. And the reason I say that is we deny a lot of transactions based on other factors, comments.

If somebody answers a prohibitive question or, you know, run a background check, we're not required to keep that form because there was no NICS check or TICS check initiated. But we keep these forms and list those because we still have a prohibited person; it's just we didn't get informed by the government they were prohibited, we were informed by the customer themselves that they were prohibited by the way they answered 11.

Q And can you put people on that log who make remarks that are very disturbing or suspicious?

A Absolutely, and we do. And we actually had a space here a few years ago for description information because we've had people come in that we had never obtained an actual name. So three guys came in, this is

1 what they were dressed like. 2 You know, a real prominent example was the, 3 there was a case in Virginia that --4 0 Right. 5 We actually denied those people and they were 6 buying guns in another store. 7 And to be clear about this log, is this log that's maintained by Wolfchase just solely for 8 9 transactions that are occurring physically in that store, 10 or is it a combined universal log of a group of stores in 11 the Tennessee area? 12 It's combined, it's actually the closest two 13 districts so it would be Tennessee and depending on the 14 location, it could bleed into other states. 15 And how would a person empowered to conduct 16 firearms operations at Wolfchase know to put other store information on that log? Do they receive an alert, and 17 what is that alert called? 18 19 It's the BOLO, and what it does is every 20 manager on duty carries a, it's like an iPhone, they call 21 them the manager-on-duty phone. And so when they get an 22 e-mail, it alerts them. They look at it and it says, you 23 know, BOLO straw purchase alert, you know, look out. And then they know to go back and print this out. 24

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Then they maintain this printout in the third

25

tab in their denied/delayed log but then if you see on 2 the denied/delay log they record it, but then they record the store where they received the BOLO or alert from. 4 So, you know, in this case, it was from a 5 different store so they just recorded that and they record the date denied. So it really limits our --6 7 How many stores would Wolfchase be getting data 0 from to create that BOLO log, that deny/delay log? 9 Α Off the top of my head, I would say 14. 10 So when a firearms purchase is attempted, going 11 back to your procedures again, the standard procedure is, 12 is that the purchaser or the clerk must do what? 13 Review the deny/delay log. 14 0 Prior to doing what? 15 Α Running the background check. 16 All right. Are there any other aspects of this 17 system of what we call extra-regulatory recordkeeping 18 that you'd like to point out to the Hearing Officer? 19 No, nothing that I can think of at this time. 20 But in summary, your BOLO system is intended 21 not only to interdict small, or straw purchasers at the 22 Wolfchase but to prevent rejectees from other Dick's from 23 coming to Wolfchase and trying to do the same thing; is 24 that correct?

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

That's correct. We've also actually cooperated

25

Α

with other competitors if you will. If it's like, you know, if somebody's making some very disturbing comments, they'll often notify the district loss prevention manager who will notify me. And we'll partner with the compliance person from, you know, like, again (indiscernible) Bass Pro Shop in a joint effort to stop a straw purchase.

Q And what's your track record, or what's Dick's policy on informing the government about attempted straw purchases?

A If we have a -- we have a smart alert system it's called. It's a case management system, it's called LPMS.

So what they'll do is they'll enter in a -- the problem we see and sometimes it's not really a straw purchase attempt, it's just they'll enter in just a denied transaction. So we don't inform the government of a denied transaction.

But if it was an actual straw purchase attempt or if one that transpired, we'll call the local IOI and let him know. And typically they just ask me to send them the copies of the 4473s involved.

Q Going back to the training that you provide employees in maintaining the core competencies for Dick's operations personnel, if somebody -- how do you test

1	those core competencies?
2	A There's a test at the end of the completion
3	that they have to pass with 100 percent or they can't
4	sell firearms.
5	Q To the best of your knowledge, did
6	Mr. Krueger who was the clerk in question in this
7	question in this transaction, did he pass that test?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Do you or any of your staff at the national
10	level, at the corporate level, do you conduct audits of
11	each of your Dick's stores for firearms compliance
12	operations?
13	A We conduct random audits from the corporate
14	perspective, but our district loss prevention managers
15	conduct audits of every store.
16	We've also utilized the program the NSSF has
17	where we can have somebody come in and give us an
18	evaluation of our stores.
19	Q You were a recipient of the Notice of
20	Revocation for the Wolfchase store, were you not?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And can you tell me your reaction to it when
23	you received it?
24	A I was shocked.

Can you explain the basis for your shock?

25

Q

A A couple things. First, our inspection results typically are good. What I mean by that is our goal is actually to have no violations which it's hard to achieve that goal, but 76 percent of our inspections last year had no violations.

And, you know, I'm actually, I track that and compare it to the national average of, I believe it was like 49.5 percent last year.

In addition, when I first got the notice of the Report of Violations and I looked at what we were cited for and compared that to a previous inspection where we had the same violation, I told this store that this is probably going to be a warning conference and we need to be ready.

I try to make warning conferences as comfortable for our store as possible. So I fly in, I require a lot of, probably a lot of people to attend that need to be. So our regional vice president, our loss prevention director, we all go in as a group so that they see, you know, it's not just me going in there to listen to things that I probably already know.

So yeah, I was shocked to see the revocation based on that because of our Bloomington, Illinois, or Bloomington, Indiana, store being cited for that same exact scenario and we had a warning conference.

1	Q Now the Bloomington, Indiana, scenario
2	you're referring to, is this the case that you're
3	referring to, and I'm handing Mr. Dodson Licensee Exhibit
4	Number 3.
5	A Uh-huh.
6	Q We'll just maintain the, we'll turn the
7	exhibits in, in just a moment to the Hearing Officer, if
8	you'll keep them in order then.
9	(Whereupon, Government's
10	Exhibit Number 3 was
11	marked for identification.)
12	BY MR. BARNES:
13	Q And so that was, in part, the basis for your
14	shock that you saw one attempted violation, and you
15	didn't really understand the basis for this. Did you
16	immediately commence an investigation?
17	A At the point of the revocation, or at the point
18	of the violations being issued?
19	Q At the point of the after the revocation
20	notice was received, did you initiate an investigation to
21	find out what happened at the store?
22	A Yes. So I actually contacted our legal
23	department, Mary.
24	Q Can you briefly explain what the results of
25	your investigation were and what you think happened?

1 Α Well the associate was no longer employed 2 there but when I sent our district loss prevention 3 investigator in to see what happened, how did we miss 4 this, you know, how did we miss a straw purchase, what we 5 noticed was that the, Mr. Brady was denied but they 6 failed to record his information or denied/delayed log. 7 They being the clerk? Q 8 Α The associate. 9 Would that be Mr. Krueger? 10 Mr. Krueger, yes. He forget to record the information in the denied/delayed log which, you know, in 11 12 normal circumstances would lead to corrective action of, 13 to a possible termination of employment. But he was no 14 longer with us so we couldn't take that action against 15 Mr. Krueger. 16 Did you also try to investigate the facts and 17 circumstances surrounding that day, November 6th? 18 Α Yes, we did. We looked at our gun sales to 19 see, because it was the same clerk and the same day, how 20 many firearms were actually transferred that day. 21 You know, our primary business in our lodge 22 area runs through the third and the fourth quarter which 23 essentially means from, from really September through 24 December is our peak season in the lodge business 'cause

that's when hunting season occurs. So ammunition sales,

25

firearm sales, knives, all the other accessories that 1 2 go with the outdoor area, that's our peak season. 3 I think, in fact, our company sells about 75 to 4 80 percent of our firearms during the third and fourth 5 quarters throughout a year. During that time period going back to November 6 7 of 2012, would you say that the firearm's inventory at 8 that time is about what it is now, or are we about the 9 same amount on display? 10 The display racks probably, we try to keep those full, but we do ramp up our inventory for the third 11 12 and fourth quarters so they would have had probably more guns in back stock at the time. 13 14 And approximately how many guns is that? Q 15 Α I would say total, that store probably 16 350-400 firearms at any given time. 17 Okay. Going further with the investigation Q 18 that you conducted, did you come to any conclusion about 19 the employee's conduct that day with regard to the 20 recordation of information in the denial log? 21 Α Well one -- really the only other thing that we 22 kind of concluded was why the serial number was the same. 23 And it's really based on the way our stores are set up. 24 So that particular shotgun, I believe it was a

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Maverick Mossberg 12 gauge, a lot of times what we'll do

25

is we'll show the one on display but rather than go back -- we keep our display boxes on the top shelves in our stockroom so unless specifically asked for the customer, we won't take that display gun and get the display box. We show it, but then we go and get them -- we keep our stockroom organized by manufacturer, model and things like that and they're on shelves. So they would just go get the top one of that gun and give it to the customer.

So what we think happened was they grabbed the top one, the guy was denied, they put it back in. When Mrs. Brady came in, they went back and grabbed the same gun and transferred it over. You know, a reasonably priced item like that, we typically keep a few on hand for the busy season.

Q With regard, again, to your looking at the facts and circumstances surrounding Mr. Krueger's action, did you conclude that there was a specific reason why he did what he failed or the action he failed to take?

A We just -- it was because he didn't follow process. He just made a mistake and didn't write the information in the denied/delayed log.

Q So from your standpoint, you would look at it as mere inadvertent or mere human error?

A Yeah, it was human error. That's what our,

1	that's what we walked away with
	that's what we walked away with.
2	MR. BARNES: I have no further questions.
3	MR. MARTIN: Do you want to introduce your
4	exhibits?
5	MR. BARNES: Yeah, I'd like to ask that they
6	be, Licensee Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 be entered into the
7	record, and one and three, did somebody have let's see
8	if it's under here.
9	THE WITNESS: I kind of shuffled through them,
10	sorry.
11	MR. MARTIN: Through three will be that
12	Bloomington, Indiana. Two looks like this, Mark.
13	MR. BARNES: Yeah. And let's see if we can
14	here we go.
15	THE WITNESS: Oh, I missed it. I'm sorry.
16	MR. BARNES: Yeah. The manual is only for
17	Exhibit Number 1, I should have only had
18	THE WITNESS: This is the chapter the gun
19	manual.
20	MR. MARTIN: Exhibit 1, I show pages 10, 11 and
21	12 as an excerpt from the manual.
22	MR. BARNES: Yeah. There's Exhibit 1, and
23	Exhibit 2 we had as a system of documents; let's just
24	make sure we got those. I should probably staple these
25	but I didn't. So you have

MR. MARTIN: I have an operation of
excellence (ph.)
MR. BARNES: No (indiscernible).
MR. MARTIN: I have firearms process.
MR. BARNES: Right.
MR. MARTIN: I have a denial log.
MR. BARNES: One second. Yeah.
MR. MARTIN: I have a straw purchase phone
list. I have a BOLO.
MR. BARNES: Yeah.
MR. MARTIN: I have another BOLO. I have four
BOLOs.
MR. BARNES: Yeah. Those were probably just
extra copies. We only intended one.
MR. MARTIN: Did you introduce all four, or do
you just want to introduce
MR. BARNES: No, just one.
MR. MARTIN: All right, let me
HEARING OFFICER: They're all blank, right?
MR. BARNES: Yes, sir.
MR. MARTIN: Yeah, all blank.
HEARING OFFICER: All right, Licensee
Exhibits 1, 2 and 3 entered into the record.
(Whereupon, Licensee's
Exhibit Numbers 1 through 3

1	A Yes, it is.
2	MR. BARNES: All right, thank you. I'd like to
3	enter this into the record as Licensee Exhibit Number 4.
4	And we need to give you all a copy, and I think I've got
5	one here.
6	MS. LANCASTER: Is that the same as what you
7	submitted earlier?
8	MR. BARNES: Yes.
9	MS. LANCASTER: That's fine. We'll just mark
10	our earlier copy.
11	MR. BARNES: Okay, very good.
12	HEARING OFFICER: Licensee Exhibit 4 entered
13	into the record.
14	(Whereupon, Licensee's
15	Exhibit Number 4 was marked
16	for identification and
17	received into evidence.)
18	MR. BARNES: Thank you, Mr. Reilly. Just to
19	make sure yeah. That completes our direct examination
20	of Mr. Dodson.
21	HEARING OFFICER: Does the Government have any
22	questions for Mr. Dodson?
23	MR. MARTIN: Yes, sir.
24	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
25	CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. MARTIN:

Q Mr. Dodson, you testified that you go through, that Dick's Sporting Goods go through a lot of training for their sales associates all the way up when they're dealing with firearms, right?

A Correct, sales associates who work in the lodge and our managers.

- Q Okay. And by lodge, you're talking about the area where firearms are sold within a Dick's Sporting Goods retail location?
 - A Correct, it's behind the hunting area.
- Q Now you testified that you all have a number of other processes outside of those required by the Gun Control Act and the regulations, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q You do other logs, do you have this BOLO sheet, other sheets things you all do, right?
- A Correct.
- Q And in fact, one of the exhibits, the
 Licensee's Exhibit 1, is the excerpt, and it's 27.5,
 Denied Transactions, out of Chapter 27; it's an excerpt
 of the policies of Dick's Sporting Goods, right?
- ll A Yes.
- Q And example number 2 at 27.5.1 talks about straw purchases in that policy. In example 2 of that

very document it says the purchaser is declined -these are ways that you can identify a straw purchaser
and example 2 is the purchaser is declined based on
results of the NICS background check. Following the
decline, another person completes the F-4473, I believe
that's the Form 4473 for ATF, right?

A Correct.

Q Then going on, then purchases the firearm for the declined or prohibited individual. That's an example that you test on and in, that's in your own policy.

And then looking down that page, it talks about spouses and, again, this is giving examples of things that, you know, they should pay attention to. If a customer selects a firearm and indicates in any way they are the individual who will purchase the firearm but their spouse completes the F-4473 for the transfer is considered a straw purchase. And that excludes gift purchases, right?

A Correct.

Q Okay. And then it gives examples of questions to ask and those are identified as, is the firearm for you or someone else. If it is for someone else, you will ask is it a gift. If the customer answers no, politely decline the sale and request the gun owner return to complete all required forms.

1 So that's almost in your own policy sort of 2 what happened here, isn't it? Mr. Brady came in, he was 3 denied under the TICS and he left without the gun, right? 4 Α Correct. 5 And then his wife, same last name, same 6 address, same day and within three firearms sales or four 7 firearms sales, made this purchase of the same make, 8 model of firearm, right? 9 Α Correct. 10 So your own policy didn't work in this 11 instance, did it? 12 Α The examples that were in there? 13 0 The examples that we talked about, the things 14 that should --15 Well if he would have asked is the firearm for 16 you and she said yes --17 We don't know that though, do we? 18 But we don't know that. But that's why we have 19 the denied/delayed log in place to validate it because 20 typically straw purchaser's lie. 21 You all go through this training and all these 22 other steps because you understand that your employee's 23 conduct is imputed back to the FFL, right? 24 Α Correct. 25

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

And you know that there is this prohibition out

there for straw purchases that you have to sell it to 1 2 the actual purchaser unless it's a gift, right? 3 Α Correct. 4 So knowing that, your employee who does this 5 activity, your policies are inadequate, ends up selling the firearm to someone that it is a straw purchase, 6 7 right? 8 So knowing that, it still happens, the sale 9 still happens. You knew it, and you didn't abide by the 10 law on that transaction, those two transactions. 11 transaction you did, you denied it; the second 12 transaction, you didn't, right? 13 I would say correct. There was a mistake made. 14 So you heard the testimony of the Industry Q 15 Operations Investigator, Mr. Williams, who talked about this is the way that the requirement -- Mr. Barnes was 16 17 asking him did you know that Dick's Sporting Goods does other things beyond what's required, do you remember 18 19 that? 20 Yes. 21 0 And he said, Mr. Williams said if you put the 22 denials in chronological or alphabetical order, that's 23 what's required, you remember that? 24 Α Yes.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

So if Mr. Krueger, the sales clerk in this

25

Q

instance, had done that, if he would put them in 1 2 chronological order, there would have been no other 3 denial between his and when his wife made the purchase, 4 His was the only denial that day from the TICS 5 log? 6 Correct. Α It would have been in the yearly 7 denied folder which is separate from the proceeds but in a file cabinet in the back room. 8 9 Well he got a denial, Mr. Krueger got the 10 denial back from TICS, right? 11 Α Right, and we would file it. 12 chronological. 13 And then if you put in alphabetical order when 14 Ms. Brady came in, he could have checked the file and 15 seen hey, here's Ms. Brady's, Mr. Brady's name, same 16 address, same firearm, everything is the same; I should 17 probably ask these questions or check on this or maybe even deny the purchase outright. 18 19 We ask those questions regardless. 20 0 We don't know if Mr. Krueger asked those 21 questions 'cause he's not here? 22 Α No, we don't. But that's what our training is 23 intended for, is to ask the questions regardless of -it's not just, it's customer service, what are you going 24

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

to use the gun for. And if the guy says I'm using it for

25

squirrel and he's buying a 300 Win Mag, we're going to deny the transaction just because it's pretty apparent what it is.

But we file chronologically in a file the way we're supposed to, and I think he testified that our forms were in proper order.

O He did.

A But to go back in to where the files are kept, that's why we use the log book because it's done at the point of sale and at the point of transaction, and we found that to be -- that's the policy we have in place 'cause I don't believe we're required to go look in the denied files for every sale.

- Q No testimony is to that at all.
- A Okay.
- Q But what we're talking about here is the steps to go to prevent this from happening, that Dick's goes through everything that you do outside of that. But the very thing that -- filing them chronologically, much easier to go back and see Bs, Brady, there's no other B or there is just one B and that's Mr. Brady and here's Mrs. Brady asking for the same gun, right?

So you didn't take any steps, I mean, the easy steps of it's right here. You create a log that in this instance just didn't work, right?

1	A Co	orrect, there was a mistake made.
2	Q No	ow you talked about this is a high-volume time
3	for Dick's	Sporting Goods?
4	A Co	prrect.
5	Q Ar	d I'm not sure if you had a chance to look at
6	the TICS log	g in this case. Have you had a chance to look
7	at it, it's	Government's 12?
8	A No	, but I've looked at the gun sales for that
9	day.	
10	Q Ok	ay. Are you aware that there were five sales
11	from then	ce was a sale, Mr. Brady's attempt, the three
12	other sales	and then Mrs. Brady?
13	A Ye	s, I am.
14	Q An	d Mr. Krueger made both of, or he countered
15	both of thos	se customers at the counter?
16	A Co	rrect, I'm aware of that.
17	Q An	d it was within an eight/nine hour period?
18	A Co	rrect.
19	Q Do	you know how many sales he made otherwise?
20	A Ot	her types of transactions?
21	Q Ot	her firearm sales
22	A No	, I don't know that off the top of my head.
23	Q	that day.
24	A He	's responsible for the whole lodge so it runs
25	from camping	up through fishing, kayaks into the gun

department over in ammunition. It's basically a corner of the store.

So when I was referring to busyness, it wasn't just the firearms portion of the business. It was the ammunition which as everybody knows is a huge, I mean, busy item right now especially when you had it back then and as well as all the other hunting accessories, knives, scopes. He would also do scope mounting or any other items that may come into play during that period.

- Q He's in encountering a number of other customers that are buying a number of other things?
 - A Right, that's what I'm referring to.
- Q But behind the gun counter that day, he had one denial for one gun, and then, what, eight or nine hours later he has same last name, same address, same firearm sale?
 - A Correct.

- Q And one of the exhibits that was identified that you participated in was the Licensee's Number 4 which is a letter from Mr. Barnes that was asking, you know, we think that you should reconsider this and the whole revocation process?
 - A Correct.
- Q And you participated in creating that document, reviewing it --

1 Α Providing information if requested. 2 Providing information, okay. And so did you 3 participate in any portion of like we, that Dick's did 4 not act willfully? Did you talk about Dick's actions on 5 that part? 6 Α No. 7 Q. Okay. You didn't review any of the legal 8 stuff, or did you do that? 9 Α I read through the document, yes. 10 Q All right. You said that you were surprised when you found out that you had, that Dick's Sporting 11 12 Goods had sold this firearm, that a straw purchase had 13 occurred. You were surprised that you --14 I said I was surprised when we got the 15 revocation. 16 Q When you got revocation of this? 17 Α Yes. 18 And that's based on that Bloomington, Indiana, 19 same experience, same --20 Α Same scenario. 21 Same scenario. 22 Α Same exact scenario actually. 23 You understand that this is the Nashville Field Q 24 Division, and each instance stands on its own, right?

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

I do understand that, yes.

25

Α

	122
1	Q Okay. Were you surprised to learn from the
2	agent, the testimony, that the Memphis Police Department
3	had to go out and get this firearm during a domestic
4	violence situation?
5	A Yes. Well, he didn't say, he said disturbance.
6	Q Domestic
7	A But I was surprised that that's what I knew
8	the Memphis PD obtained the firearms already, but I was
9	surprised to hear it was because of a disturbance.
10	Q A domestic disturbance, okay.
11	A He just said disturbance.
12	MR. MARTIN: That's all I have, thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
14	MR. BARNES: I just have a couple redirects.
15	HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. BARNES:
18	Q Mr. Dodson, Mr. Martin said that somehow Dick's
19	knew about the straw sale but from your investigation, is
20	that the conclusion that you came to?
21	A No, we didn't know until (indiscernible).
22	Q Again, your conclusion was that it was just
23	mere human error in terms of failure to record into the
24	log, the denial log?
25	A Correct.

1 0 How far back can a denial log go so that if 2 I'm a clerk at the front counter and I'm going back or 3 I'm looking at the denial log, how much horizon does it 4 give me to go back and look at names? 5 Α A year. 6 So that's a fairly lengthy period of time? 7 Α Yeah. 8 In your experience, most straw purchase Q 9 attempts or somebody is denied and comes back, you know, 10 for lack of better words, a time to crime --11 MR. MARTIN: I like your choice. 12 BY MR. BARNES: 13 -- in your experience, does that go on for 14 weeks or months, or is it fairly prompt that they try to 15 make another attempt? It's usually within a week, I mean, usually 16 17 it's the same day. In the majority that we see, they go 18 to another store and try it. But it's usually the same 19 day or within a few business days after the fact. 20 Q Okay. And so this mechanism that you've set up 21 to have eyes on all transactions, not only in this Dick's 22 district but in all Dick's districts, there's the same 23 process obviously in place? 24 Α Yeah.

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

It gives the ability for the people conducting

25

1 firearms operations to see readily and apparently district wide who may be problematic for an attempted 3 straw purchase? 4 Α Correct. 5 Q Okay. And up to a year then, is that correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 Okay. Now, are there any plans to better automate this system so that detection of straw purchases 9 can be perhaps a little bit more automatic? 10 Α Yes. We actually rolled out a, we call it FMS, 11 Firearms Management System. It rolled out to a few test 12 stores last fall and then we got it into a 100 stores 13 where we didn't need to add any kind of hardware. 14 it's an electronic 4473 that -- and then we'll also using 15 E-Check and we're integrated with NICS in the NICS 16 states. 17 But when we get to denied transactions, any 18 time there's a denied, there's two ways to handle it. 19 it's denied by the POC state or by NICS then we actually 20 keep the denied/delayed log electronically. 21 And then we also have the ability to enter a 22 new denied customer so if we wouldn't have, if they 23 wouldn't go through a NICS check then they would just 24 answer a prohibitive question incorrectly, we can go in

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

and enter their information.

25

So what the program does now is so if, you know, somebody comes in and tries to buy a firearm with the same last name as somebody that's denied, it'll flag within a surrounding state or bordering state. So it doesn't automatically deny, but it flags and it brings up that denied individual's information so you can see why they were denied.

So it'll say, you know, the system automatically denies you if you answer 11(k) no. So say a customer answered, you know, 11(k) no, that's why it's flagging in this automated system.

So it's essentially the same process, but now it's automated and it's company wide and it's checked in three states or surrounding states around the store.

Q And now once that flag comes up then in accordance with Dick's standard operating procedures, is there an obligation on the part of the store staff to vet that transaction?

A Yeah, absolutely. They have to actually -- it tells them it's flagged because they're a denied person, they click a button, it shows them the reasons it's denied. And what it allows them to do is compare the address.

You know, if it's Smith, I mean, it's not going to -- if it's Ray Smith that lives in Cleveland, Ohio,

and he's, you know, the guy denied was John Smith that lives in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, you can make the determination that there's no relation.

What I always tell everybody is always anything you don't like, you know, that's what we always do. And it surprises the, oh, yeah, that's my brother. We're not going to sell you the firearm then. That's kind of the way we handle the situation.

- Q You have an interrogation mechanism that works?
- A Sort of. It's just something that we use to get people to quickly answer if they --
- Q Final question. When will the Wolfchase store be able to have access to this enhanced denial tracking?

A Actually, they're slated to get it this month. They have the hardware, or they have the fixture in. The hardware has been purchased and is at our store support center getting programmed. But they're actually getting it -- it's our IT Department so I'd say they're slated to get it this month but it'll March at the latest.

- Q In your opinion, would this help diminish or even eliminate the Krueger-type error that you saw in this particular transaction?
- A Yeah, it takes human element out, I mean, almost entirely because it doesn't require you to search now. It automatically searches the flags for you.

MR. BARNES: No further questions.
HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Martin, he added a bit
there, do you want to
MR. MARTIN: No, sir.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
MR. BARNES: I'd like to call my next witness
which will be Tommy Wittman, former Assistant Special
Agent in Charge in the Phoenix Field Division. We do
have to contact him on the phone; he's standing by and he
should be ready.
HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
MR. BARNES: I'm sorry, I don't know how to use
your system.
MS. LANCASTER: Dial 9 and 1 and then your
number. Do you want him on speaker to begin with?
MR. BARNES: Yes, that's fine.
MS. LANCASTER: It's ready for you to go.
(Asides)
MR. WITTMAN: Hello.
MR. BARNES: Hey, Tommy. Mark Barnes, here,
and you're on speaker phone at the ATF Offices in
Memphis, Tennessee. Hello?
MR. WITTMAN: Yes, Mark. You're
(indiscernible) is broken. Let me (indiscernible).
MR. MARTIN: And that's not going to work.

1	MR. BARNES: Okay. Let me try him on
2	MR. WITTMAN: (indiscernible) broken.
3	MR. BARNES: Okay. This might be an IP phone.
4	MS. LANCASTER: It must be those storms in
5	between.
6	MR. BARNES: Tommy, can you hear me, I'm off
7	speaker? I'm going to try you on the cell phone speaker
8	because this is an IP phone and that might be part of the
9	problem. Let me try that, okay? I'll call you right
10	back.
11	(Asides)
12	MR. WITTMAN: Hello.
13	MR. BARNES: Hi Tommy, can you speak up? I put
14	you right next to
15	MR. WITTMAN: Yeah, much better.
16	MR. BARNES: Okay, very good. Mr. Reilly,
17	we're ready to proceed and maybe if you could give
18	30 seconds to Mr. Wittman on who's in the room, or I can
19	if you wish.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
21	(Whereupon,
22	TOMMY WITTMAN
23	was called as a witness, and after having been first duly
24	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:)
25	HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Wittman, just for the

record, can you state and spell your last name; state 2 your name and spell your last name, please? 3 THE WITNESS: Sure. It's Tommy Wittman, W-I-T-T-M-A-N. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER: And you're a witness for Dick's Sporting Goods, is that correct? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. This is a revocation 9 hearing on the matter of Dick's Sporting Goods Store Number 375. 10 11 I'm Mr. Reilly, Mike Reilly, I'm the Hearing 12 On behalf of the Government we have Division 13 Counsel from the Nashville Field Division, Todd Martin 14 and Pat Lancaster. We have IOI, Industry Operations 15 Investigator, Thomas Williams. 16 On behalf of Dick's Sporting Goods, we have 17 Mary Tortorice who's a Vice President of Legal and 18 Compliance matters; we have Mr. Kevin Dodson who's the 19 manager for Loss Prevention and Compliance; and we have 20 Mr. Wally Nelson who's a consultant for Dick's Sporting 21 Goods; and we have Mr. Mark Barnes representing Dick's 22 Sporting Goods. 23 As an observer at this hearing, Mr. Wittman, we 24 have the Director of Industry Operations, Mr. Kevin

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

25

Boydston.

So I want to turn it over to Mr. Barnes, and he is going to gather your testimony with regards to this hearing, okay?

THE WITNESS: Very good.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BARNES:

Q Mr. Wittman, can you please describe for the Hearing Officer your professional background over the last 30 years?

A Okay. Well my association with law enforcement began back when I was a police officer in Tacoma, Washington. I was recruited from the police department by ATF. I was a street agent in Seattle, Washington, for approximately 11 years then I was promoted to the Resident Agent in Charge of the field office in Salt Lake City. I was there for little over three years then I was promoted to Washington, D.C. where I worked at a firearms division as an operations officer and then a program manager and then promoted to the Special Agent in Charge of the Arson and Explosive (ph.) program. From that -- I was there approximately four years also.

Then I was promoted to the Assistant Special in Charge for Dallas Field Division. I was probably there for, again, almost another four years. I was the Special Agent in Charge for approximately four months following

the recalls to the (indiscernible) in Dallas.

And then I was laterally transferred from the Dallas Field Division to the Phoenix Field Division where I was ASIC there.

And then I retired in 1997, yeah, 1999 and then opened up a private investigation business primarily doing (indiscernible) government for security investigations.

- Q Mr. Wittman, were you hired by my firm to conduct an investigation into the facts and circumstances surrounding a hearing or a Notice of Revocation for Dick's Sporting Goods in Wolfchase, Tennessee?
 - A Yes, I was.

- Q And in consulting with you with regard to the investigation outlined, you determined did you not that you wanted to interview the store employee, the clerk, who was the first point of contact with the purchasers; and you also wanted to interview the purchasers themselves, is that correct?
 - A Yes, that is correct.
 - O Did you, in fact, conduct those interviews?
- A Yes, I did.
 - Q All right. I have before me Licensee Exhibit

 Number 5 which is the report of interview with

 Matthew Krueger and with Jason Wayne Brady and with

Laura Carolyn Brady. And I would ask that you outline 1 how you conducted those reports of interviews; did you do 2 3 them in person or telephonically? I contacted all three individuals by telephone 4 Α for the purpose of the interview. 5 And with regard to those interviews, can you 6 summarize for the Hearing Officer, because your reports 7 of interviews will be entered into the record, but can 8 you summarize for the Hearing Officer what you found with 10 regard to Mr. Krueger? Mr. Krueger was the former employee of Dick's 11 Α Sporting Goods who was the person who actually 12 facilitated the 4473s in both instances, both Mr. Brady 13 and Mrs. Laura Brady. Mr. Krueger had no recollection or 14 recall even after I identified Mr. Brady and Mrs. Brady 15 to him of the particulars of the actual sale. 16 We discussed how busy the store was, and he 17 indicated they're particularly very busy at the time of 18 the year, kept referring back to the purchases, I believe 19 it was on November, regarding the actual sale of the 20 Mossberg shotgun. Again, no specific recollections. 21 I asked him to, if he was aware of the 22 circumstances under which a straw purchase could occur. 23

an employee of Dick's where straw purchases were actually FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902

He recounted to me scenarios of which he had seen four as

Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

24

25

attempted that he prevented. He walked me through the normal procedure whereby he would notify a supervisor and notations would be made in an attempted sale.

2.4

I asked if there was anything in particular he could recall on this particular transaction that would cause him to think it was a straw purchase. He was quite adamant that based on his training and experience that had a straw purchase been attempted, he would have been able to identify.

But neither, if he's -- if either one of them would have made any indication that the weapon was for somebody else or reacted differently than a normal sale, he'd scoped it. He would have been key to that (indiscernible) preventing the sale. Following --

Q In your experience as a Special Agent when he went over the different scenarios, did you find those to be correct and credible scenarios for attempting to interdict a straw purchase?

A Yes. I mean, his description was very typical of a straw purchase set up and nothing that he felt -- if there would have been there, he would have keyed into it.

- Q With regard to Mr. Jason Brady, did you have -- you conducted an interview with him as well, correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And how long ago was that interview conducted;

we have here January 26th if that refreshes your 1 2 recollection? 3 Α Okay. I just looked at a copy; yes, it was 4 January 26th. 5 Can you summarize for the Hearing Officer what 6 you found from Mr. Brady's testimony or information? 7 Α Mr. Brady was, well, first of all, he was very 8 cordial and really quite apologetic. If he got, if 9 something happened, it would require the store to be 10 somehow, any corrective action taken against the store. 11 Actually when he went in to purchase the 12 shotgun in (indiscernible) the actual purchase was 13 preceded by discussions with his wife who was going to 14 participate in an upcoming pheasant hunt and she did not 15 have a shotqun. 16 He personally owned a shotgun, a rifle, a 17 pistol, at the time, but that he wanted to get his wife, 18 buy her a present, a surprise gift of this shotgun for 19 this intending hunt. 20 As reported, he goes to Dick's Sporting Goods. Based on the for sale price was, he recollected it was 21 22 100 and some dollars for this shotgun. I don't know if, 23 how accurate his recollection of that was. 24 But he goes to the store, looks at the shotgun,

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

believes it would be the one that's for her to be able to

25

participate in this hunt, attempts to make the sale and is told that he was denied. Well, I guess that particular store is denied but that his purchase was denied.

He left the store thinking it was a paper mix up 'cause he was not aware that he was a prohibited person.

At the time of the purchase, he had a concealed weapons permit issued by the State of Tennessee as well as a fishing and hunting license. And he had, like I said, purchased a couple other weapons through legitimate Federal Firearms Licensed dealers preceding this date.

He gets home, tells his wife that he found a shotgun that he thinks would fit her, there's a (indiscernible) that if she wants it, she needs to go buy it herself because there was a mix up with his paperwork when he attempted to buy it.

They trade off babysitting duties; they have a couple of children, he was watching the children. She goes to the store, approaches the counter and purchased the shotgun. Then she returns home and (ph.) Mr. Brady that she has a shotgun.

A few days later, he is contacted telephonically by the State of Tennessee and advised that he is prohibited from purchasing, any further purchases

of weapons and that his concealed weapons permit was being revoked.

A short (indiscernible) says to the effect that what do I do about the weapons that I have now, she said she was told that he couldn't buy any further weapons but that wouldn't impact the ones that he currently possessed.

A short time after that, he was visited by officers from the Memphis Police Department who advised him that based on the (indiscernible) of the State of Tennessee, he would be a prohibited person that they needed to recover his firearms.

He surrendered his rifle, his shotgun, his pistol and asked about his wife's shotgun. They said they had to take all weapons in the house so that was also surrendered, the shotgun in question.

Unfortunately, Mr. Brady doesn't have any specific recollection of the exact month and day but approximately two months ago, he was visited by two individuals who identified themselves as ATF Special Agents indicating that they were there to take a shotgun that he had attempted to purchase sometime ago.

And he then told them that the shotgun had been picked up by Memphis Police Department, that it was no longer in his possession; enquired as to, you know, why

this was coming down now. And he was told by one of the agents that there was suspicion that the shotgun was involved in a straw purchase.

He says that he told the officer, or the agents that the weapon that was purchased was purchased by his wife for her, that he had attempted to do it as a gift for her. But if he was denied, he then instructed her to go get it herself.

Actually that's about the basis of Mr. Brady's report.

Q Did you then have an occasion to interview the wife, Mrs. Brady?

A I did. And Mrs. Brady recounts the situation up to the purchase of the shotgun similar to Mr. Brady in that she was going to go to a pheasant hunt and not have a shotgun. When Mr. Brady came home from the store, advised her that he had attempted to buy as a gift a surprise gift shotgun for her and there was some paper mix up.

At this point I asked her if she was aware that her husband was a prohibited person, and she said no.

And they needed a little explanation as to what a prohibited person was. I explained to her under ATF guidelines what a prohibited person was, and she had no knowledge of him being in a prohibited status.

1	That being aside, she then goes to the
2	store, in fact, returns to Dick's Sporting Goods, goes up
3	to the firearms counter, tells the attendant that she was
4	looking for a 12 gauge shotgun to go hunting. And I
5	believe she indicated a 12 gauge Mossberg because that's
6	what her husband said he had looked at. She did not
7	reveal her identity as to who, you know, she was and made
8	no reference to her husband having been there previously.
9	The attendant brings her a shotgun, she looks
10	at, feels it, likes it and buys it then takes it home.
11	And then proceeds to participate in the pheasant hunt
12	that was in the next week or so.
13	Q Okay. Did Mr. Brady indicate to you that he
14	had made a statement to the Special Agents who came to do
15	a "NICS pickup" that, in fact, it was his shotgun and
16	that he was the one who was going to go hunting; did he
17	say anything like that to you during your interview?
18	A No, he did not.
19	MR. BARNES: I have no further questions.
20	CROSS EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. MARTIN:
22	Q Mr. Wittman, this is Todd Martin, Division
23	Counsel for Nashville Field Division.
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q Mr. Wittman, during the course of your inquiry

into this matter, did you talk to him about whether or 2 not he still had any firearms? 3 Α Yes, I did. 4 And what did he say? 5 I think he mentioned, well, he said he had a rifle, another shotgun and a handgun, all three of which 6 7 were surrendered to the Memphis Police Department. 8 Did he say why they were surrendered to the Q 9 Memphis Police Department? 10 When the officers arrived at his home, they Α 11 told him, as directed by the Tennessee Bureau of 12 Investigation, that he was a prohibited person and he 13 couldn't possess firearms. 14 Did he say why the officers responded to his Q 15 home in the first place? 16 Α No. 17 Did you look into why the officers came to his Q house and took the firearms away? 18 19 Did I look into it? 20 0 Yes. 21 I'm sorry, no, I did not. As I indicated, he Α 22 was under the assumption that based on his attempted 23 purchase of the weapon earlier and the denial that that

MR. MARTIN: Okay, thank you. I have nothing

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

24

25

generated action.

1	further.
2	MR. BARNES: Nothing further.
3	HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Wittman.
4	MR. WITTMAN: All right, sir.
5	MR. BARNES: Thank you for being on a standby,
6	we appreciate it. Bye now.
7	I would ask that Licensee Exhibit Number 5 then
8	be entered into the record which are Mr. Wittman's
9	reports of interviews.
10	HEARING OFFICER: Licensee Exhibit 5 entered
11	into the record.
12	(Whereupon, Licensee's
13	Exhibit Number 5 was marked
14	for identification and
15	received into evidence.)
16	MR. BARNES: We're ready for our last and final
17	witness.
18	HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
19	MR. BARNES: Calling Wally Nelson to testify.
20	(Whereupon,
21	WALLY NELSON
22	was called as a witness, and after having been first duly
23	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:)
24	DIRECT EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. BARNES:

1	Q Mr. Nelson, can you state your full name for
2	the record, please?
3	A Walfred A. Nelson; I go by Wally.
4	W-A-L-F-R-E-D, middle initial A, N-E-L-S-O-N.
5	Q Can you state your professional record and your
6	current occupation, please?
7	A I was a career ATF employee on the regulatory
8	side. I started out as an inspector then alcohol
9	compliant industry operations inspectors. If I lapse
10	into calling it an inspector, I apologize.
11	I started out in 1972 with ATF in Illinois. In
12	1973, I was one of the very first inspectors who started
13	doing firearms inspections. I was trained by ATF Special
14	Agents from the Chicago Field Division on how to do
15	compliance work which previously had been done by the
16	criminal side of the house.
17	I then went to Kansas City, Omaha, Minnesota
18	then I was area supervisor in Detroit for seven and a
19	half years. I then had a tour in Washington on the staff
20	of the Assistant Director.
21	And then for nine years, I was chief of field
22	operations in Chicago which is akin to a DIO and then I
23	supervised area supervisors.
24	In 1996, I was transferred back to headquarters
25	to be the chief of the Firearms and Explosives Regulatory

Division which had all the licensing NFA imports, and regulatory policy for firearms and explosives.

And from 1998 until my retirement in 2005, I was the deputy assistant director for the, part of the Agency and Alcohol Enforcement programs and services. As such, I was responsible for the tracing center, the licensing, the firearms technology branch, international operations and many other operations but in particular regulatory policy and compliance policy.

And since 2005, I have been a private consultant to the firearms and explosives industry specializing in compliance work, helping them to comply with the laws and regulations.

Q Mr. Nelson, over the course of your ATF career were you involved in revocation cases?

A I was involved in a number of revocation cases as an inspector, as a supervisor, a CFO involved in quite many -- I dare say, the Midwest region when I was CFO there, I was probably revoking more licenses than any place in the country, any region in the country.

Q Do you have an occasion to remember any significant cases that actually went up for federal court review that you were personally involved in?

A I do. One of the cases I worked on in Kansas City, I transferred there in 1974, was a case that's

often cited by ATF attorneys; I was the inspector on the case. We call it George's Jewelry and Loan, but it's cited as Lewin v. Blumenthal out of the 8th Circuit.

This was the first case I am aware of at least at the Circuit Court of Appeals level where the doctrine of plain indifference was written up by a court, that is, the failure to perform a known legal duty.

What was Lewin doing, what was George's Jewelry and Loan doing that was plainly indifferent to the regulations and the law?

Among other things, if a person in Kansas City came to that shop and filled out the 4473 and said I am a felon, they transferred a firearm to them. If a person came to redeem a pawn and they said I am a felon, they pawned the gun to them.

We did the inspection, actually, it was a denial of renewal; we recommended denial of renewal. We had a hearing, and ATF denied it. And the licensee appealed to the Circuit, the U. S. Court in Kansas City and unbelievably to me, the judge said ATF, give him his license. So the Justice Department appealed to the 8th Circuit.

And this took a number of years, and I think the case didn't come out until 1978. I think I did the inspection in '74-'75, but they did uphold the denial of

renewal and the doctrine of plain indifference.

Q Mr. Nelson, during the course of your professional career at ATF and over the course of years, were you required to train new inspectors on the concept of willfulness?

A Well, yes. I think we, I trained many people on the on-the-job instructor. As a supervisor, I provided roll call training.

I think the most significant training that I would want to talk about today was something we did, and I think it was in 2003, we had all the area supervisors and DIOs in one room together which is a rare occurrence. And we wanted to provide national training on firearms, in particular, on firearms revocation policy, denials, revocations and so forth to get national consistency because we saw that what was being revoked in one part of the country was not even being, was maybe just being cited for a violation in another part of the country. And that was something I couldn't stand.

So we had training there and it was, and a lot of it was provided by ATF counsel. And one particular part of the training was provided by a gentleman, a former ATF attorney that I greatly respected,

Paul Pendley, which some may have met in this room.

Paul provided training on willfulness, and $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$

remember distinctly one thing that he said. He talked about willfulness and he says, you know, we may not exactly agree on the exact language of what willfulness is, but we know what it's not. He said it's not accident, happenstance or human error. And that was the training provided by Mr. Pendley in 2003, and I took that to heart. I took that to heart that people do make errors.

And I certainly think that's what occurred in

2.0

And I certainly think that's what occurred in this case.

Q You were retained in this matter to help review the facts and circumstances surrounding it. Have you had a full opportunity to review the gun manual, the internal audits, the training at the stores? And, in fact, did you not even go out to Wolfchase store yourself and look at their systems and their inventory?

A Yes. I did have a chance to review the materials that they have in their gun manual and some of the forms that have been introduced as evidence today.

Q Looking back at all your experience as an ATF officer, can you summarize for the Hearing Officer what in your professional opinion the practices are at that store and, indeed, the Dick's national system?

A Well, in my opinion, the Dick's efforts at compliance, taking the extra step; spending probably

1 millions of dollars to create these programs, these 2 electronic data systems; to have a whole national staff 3 of internal auditors; the training they go through is 4 exemplary. I mean, it's number 1. If it's not 5 number 1, it's darn close to it as far as a national exercise by any FFL. 6 7 When you visited the Wolfchase store, did you 8 have an occasion to look at the denial log system? 9 Yes, I did. Α 10 And can you compare that in your experience not Q 11 only as your experience as an ATF officer but your 12 current consultancy to the entire trade where you have an 13 occasion to view many different firearms operations? 14 Α Yes. It's uncommon. Some people will have 15 maybe a chalkboard or a grease board where they put up a 16 pencil, you know, so and so was denied or something like that. But no one to my knowledge has such a systematic 17 18 effort as Dick's does. 19 Did you have an occasion to look at the 20 facts and circumstances surrounding the allegations in 21 the Notice of Revocation given by the Bureau? 22 Α Yes. 23 And in your professional opinion, is the Bureau 0 24 well founded in proceeding in this manner?

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

I don't think so. I attribute this to human

error. Looking at the facts and the records that are there, that the employee didn't do his duty, you know, and shame on him. And I have never heard of a licensee being cited for a false bound book, disposition record in the case of an undetected straw purchase.

Q You're saying that in the Notice of Revocation, the allegations that were asserted with failure to record the actual purchaser in A&D system, that is failure to record Mr. Brady's information as opposed to Laura Brady's information, you've never seen that cited?

A I have not seen that. When I saw the violation, I thought well, perhaps there was evidence that Mr. Brady actually was next to her and picked up the gun. And in that case, that would be a proper citation. But that didn't seem to be the case here.

Q In the case where there's actual willfulness demonstrated to the Bureau or to an Industry Operations Investigator, is the Bureau obligated to proceed with a revocation even if they believe that there was willfulness in a particular matter?

A The pertinent statute is, I believe, 18 U.S.C. 923(e), and it says that the Bureau may revoke if they find a violation of the law or the regulations; the key word may. There's no duty, it's not shall revoke, must revoke; it's may revoke. So there is some discretion.

1 And in my opinion, this is a case where that discretion should be exercised because many stores miss 2 3 straw sales, despite their best efforts, they miss them. 4 And if a licensee is going to be revoked because they 5 missed a straw sale, a lot of licenses are going to get revoked because they do miss them. 6 7 And I'm not aware of a case of someone being 8 revoked for a missed straw sale on a single instance, a 9 single fact situation on their first inspection after six 10 years in business and that's basically the only violation. 11 12 MR. BARNES: I have no further questions. 13 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Martin? CROSS EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MR. MARTIN: 16 Mr. Nelson, you talked about 923(e) and there's 17 a bit of leeway there whether or not a license is 18 revoked, right? 19 Right. Α 20 And you said, in fact, that you've never heard Q 21 of anyone being, had their license revoked because of one 22 instance, right, of a straw purchase? They missed one 23 instance of straw purchase, you've never heard anybody --24 They failed to detect a straw, a possible straw Α

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

I've not heard it.

25

purchase, yes.

1	Q Let me ask this. Do you disagree that this
2	is a straw purchase?
3	A Based on the information we developed in our
4	investigation, I do not believe it was a straw purchase.
5	Q You've heard other testimony here today, do you
6	believe it was a straw purchase?
7	A I believe it's in doubt.
8	Q You believe it could be or couldn't be, you
9	don't know now?
10	A It's a no.
11	Q It's a okay. What's in doubt for you?
12	A I'm not sure.
13	Q What are you not sure about?
14	A Whether it was a straw purchase or not.
15	Q Do you believe that Mr. Brady came in to
16	purchase that firearm?
17	A Yes and based on the
18	Q I just asked that question.
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you believe that Mrs. Brady later came in to
21	purchase that firearm?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And you believe that she put down you've
24	looked at the 4473 that Mr. Brady filled out, didn't you?
25	A Yes.

1 And you've looked at the 4473 that Mrs. Q 2 Brady filled out, right? 3 Α Yes. 4 You looked at the time between the time 5 Mr. Brady was denied and the time that Mrs. Brady picked up the firearm, right? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 And you've looked at who the clerk was during Q 9 those transactions, right? 10 Α Yes. 11 Same clerk, same address, same firearm, same 12 last name on the 4473s, right? 13 Yes. 14 And you've heard the testimony from the agent Q 15 for ATF that says when I talked to Mr. Brady, he said I 16 wanted the firearm, I was going to buy the firearm then 17 he sends his wife back in. You heard that testimony, 18 right? 19 Α Yes. 20 And that was in September of, well, your agent 21 interviewed Mr. Brady in January of 2014, right? 22 Α Yes. 23 September previous to this when it would have 24 been closer in time for him, ATF sent an agent to talk to 25 him to pick the firearm up, right?

		1	5
1	A	Yes.	_
2	Q	And Mr. Brady told that agent something	
3	 completel	y different than what he told the former agent	
4	that you	all hired as an investigator, correct?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	And so why do you have doubt that this was a	
7	straw pur	chase?	
8	A	Because we have conflicting information from	
9	Mr. Brady	7.	
10	Q	And does it help your cause for it not to be a	
11	straw pur	chase?	
12	А	Would you rephrase that, please?	
13	Q	What part did you not understand that I can	
14	help you		
15	A	My cause.	
16	Q	Who are you employed by?	
17	A	Mr. Barnes.	
18	Q	And Mr. Barnes has hired you to assist him in	
19	this case	?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	And so does it help Mr. Barnes' client if it's	
22	not a str	aw purchase?	
23	A	Yes.	
24	Q	And so is that why you have doubt that this is	
25	a straw p	urchase, Mr. Nelson?	

1 Α I have doubt because our investigator talked to both Mr. and Mrs. Brady, and Mrs. Brady said she 2 3 bought it for herself to go hunting. 4 Q And that's in direct conflict with what 5 Mr. Brady said, isn't it? 6 Α Not to our investigator. 7 0 From the testimony here today, you've heard 8 that there was another story, right? 9 Α Yes. 10 Okay. Now you said that you disagree with 11 revocation, right? 12 No, I approve of revocation where it's willful. 13 In this instance, you disagree with the revocation of the license of Dick's Sporting Goods? 14 15 Α Absolutely. 16 And that's not your call though, is it? 17 Well, no, it's not my call, obviously. 18 You talked about millions of dollars that 19 Dick's Sporting Goods has spent. And I think you said if 20 it's not number 1, it's very close to number 1 for 21 efforts that they have out there to try to track the 22 firearms and engage in the business of being a federal 23 firearms dealer? 24 Absolutely. Α

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

And yet despite all these millions of dollars,

all of these hoops and hurdles that Dick's Sporting 1 2 Goods has put up, in this instance, a firearm got into 3 the hands of a person who is prohibited to have the 4 firearm; isn't that correct? 5 Again, Mrs. Brady testified she bought the gun 6 for herself. 7 Do you recall that, do you know -- have you looked into the Memphis Police Department's report, have 9 you looked at that? 10 I have not. 11 So you don't know if Memphis Police Department 12 picked them up just from him or from his wife, right? 13 Well the investigator said that they picked 14 them up, Mr. Brady said they picked up the wife's gun as 15 well. 16 Okay. You mentioned a case that you worked on, 17 the very first case that they discussed plain 18 indifference I think is what you said, right? 19 Yes. 20 0 That was in '73-4, but the case came out in --21 **'**78 --Α 22 Q Yeah. 23 Α -- I think it was. 24 And so that's the 8th Circuit, we're talking

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Transcription
D.C. Area 301-261-1902
Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

about the 6th Circuit here, correct; you're aware that

1	this is the 6th Circuit?
2	A That's right.
3	Q And that's Circuits disagree at times about
4	whether or not something is going to be upheld or not
5	upheld, right?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. MARTIN: Okay, that's all.
8	MR. BARNES: Just some brief redirect.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. BARNES:
11	Q Mr. Nelson, as Mr. Martin pointed out, you
12	heard some testimony today from Special Agent Howard.
13	Special Agent Howard said that he did not interview
14	Mrs. Brady, is that correct?
15	A That's correct.
16	Q And the report of investigation or the report
17	of interviews that you reviewed had three interviews, is
18	that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Mr. Krueger, Mrs. Brady and Mr. Brady.
21	A That's right.
22	Q From your standpoint, were any of those
23	renditions or information provided by the witnesses
24	inconsistent with one another?
25 l	A No.

1	Q You also heard Special Agent Howard say that
2	he did make a record of interview of the so called NICS
3	pickup attempt because he didn't recover the gun; the
4	Memphis Police have the gun. His reference to a record
5	of interview have you read that record of interview?
6	A I have not.
7	MR. BARNES: I have no further questions.
8	MR. MARTIN: Nothing on recross.
9	HEARING OFFICER: Okay, Mr. Barnes. Where are
10	we in your presentation?
11	MR. BARNES: We're done with our witnesses and
12	our I want to make sure that we didn't fail to do one
13	thing which was no, we got everything into evidence,
14	Mr. Reilly.
15	HEARING OFFICER: We're at five, right?
16	MR. BARNES: Yes, sir.
17	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Government Exhibits 1
18	through 5
19	MR. BARNES: The Licensee Exhibits 1 through 5.
20	HEARING OFFICER: Sorry, one through five
21	entered into the record.
22	MR. BARNES: Right.
23	HEARING OFFICER: Does that conclude your
24	presentation?
25	MR. BARNES: It does, Mr. Reilly.

	156
1	HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We've been going
2	about an hour and a half. If we only have closings then
3	do we want to plow forward?
4	MR. BARNES: I agree.
5	MS. LANCASTER: Plow away.
6	HEARING OFFICER: The Government's up first and
7	the Licensee gets the last word. How's that, Mr. Barnes?
8	MR. BARNES: Fine.
9	MR. MARTIN: Shall I (indiscernible).
10	HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry?
11	MR. MARTIN: Should we proceed?
12	HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
13	MR. MARTIN: Today's a good day. Today's a
14	good day for Dick's Sporting Goods, a good day for the
15	Nashville Field Division, for the people of Tennessee,
16	for Federal Firearms Licensees and purchasers of firearms
17	across this country because today we're talking about
18	solely the revocation of a firearm due to a straw
19	purchase in the records and books.
20	You don't have to look very far in this country
21	to hear of tragedies that befall people. And invariably,
22	you hear people say, you know, it's sort of odd but I
23	really didn't know about that, didn't think about that,
24	something weird was going on. But that purchase then
	1

goes, that person goes out and takes a firearm and they

do something that hurts firearm owners, firearm dealers across the country.

Today's a good day because that didn't happen in this instance despite the fact that the firearm was purchased and was held by Mr. Brady for a number of months.

When the Memphis Police Department went out there, they went out there solely to address the disturbance and as a result, they took those firearms. So today's a good day for Dick's, Tennessee and gun owners and gun sellers across the country.

We're not talking about tragedy, we're talking about responsibility and accepting that responsibility.

Dick's Sporting Goods goes through a number of hoops and you've put a number of things up. And as Mr. Nelson said, you spend millions of dollars to do so. And there are federal firearms dealers across this country who are mom and pop shops that don't need to do that because of volume, but they still can comply and they can still accept responsibility when they do make a straw purchase sale.

Now, the testimony is that this purchase was attempted by Mr. Brady so Mr. Brady didn't have to borrow a firearm, and he think he could go out and he could hunt on his own. When that firearm was denied him, as it

should have been, he then took some action to get his wife to go back and purchase it for him.

Now, sadly, all the hoops and hurdles that were put up didn't work, and he got access to that firearm.

So we don't have to talk about that, that tragedy. We don't have to talk about whether it's dove hunting or pheasant hunting or whether it's anything else. But what we do know is that he had access to the firearm, and it should have been stopped when the person with the same last name, the same address, got the same make and model of firearm on the same day from the same clerk. All of those things are in evidence.

So this is about an FFL, a large FFL, Dick's Sporting Goods, who's accepted the responsibility of being a Federal Firearms Licensee and all the things that come with that. And that's compliance with the law, making sure prohibited people don't have those firearms, keeping your records and as the IOI said, chronologically, alphabetically.

You walk back and you look at the sheet and you see Jason Brady's name and that address, you don't even have to go -- there are 24 other letters of the alphabet that he would have had to look at. It's the same day within three transactions. The only denial that day. If it was done chronologically, he wouldn't have to look at

the other 364 days of the year preceding it. He could have looked at that that fast and known.

Now, there's been some talk about the 8th Circuit and other Circuits, but the standard is that if you know of that obligation, you fail to abide by it then you're acting willfully at that point. And the actions of your employee are imputed to you. Those mom and pop shops may be a one-man operation. Certainly Dick's Sporting Goods has more than one person that stands behind the counter, that's not in evidence but it's just a fact that Dick's Sporting Goods is going to have more people, more customers and more people.

But in this instance, it's the same clerk, the same day, less than nine hours separate that. It was his only denial that day. The fact that it's one instance as Mr. Barnes pointed out doesn't matter. The laws clear, one instance suffices. You only have to do one, and your license can be revoked. And that's a 6th Circuit case.

The fact that Dick's didn't do this to say I want Mr. Brady to have this firearm as Mr. Nelson was talking about. When someone comes in and they're a felon and they say we don't care if you're a felon, we're still going to give you a firearm.

An evil motive is not required, not in the 6th Circuit. The fact that you have that responsibility and

you deny that responsibility, that is sufficient. Ιn 2 this case, this is adequate. 3 Again, this is a lucky day for Dick's; 4 Nashville, Tennessee; and quite honestly, all Federal 5 Firearms Licensees and firearms owners. Thank you. 6 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Barnes. 7 MR. BARNES: Well, it may be a lucky day in one 8 sense, but I think the most important point of this 9 entire proceeding today is the point that the Gun Control 10 Act does not demand perfection, it demands persistence, 11 and where that persistence is attended to in a 12 responsible manner which Dick's does every day. 13 has dedicated itself to having one of the finest 14 compliance systems in the United States. 15 So there is no question and there can be no 16 doubt that they are dedicated to public safety and to 17 compliance. And they've shown that day in and day out 18 with over 500 stores that conduct firearms operations. 19 To show why I think this revocation is 20 unwarranted is that there's been no taking into account 21 any of the mitigating factors and a major one being human 22 There is no question that Dick's has admitted error. 23 that there was human error. 24 But I think that the 4th Circuit in RSM v.

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Transcription D.C. Area 301-261-1902 Balt. & Annap. 410-974-0947

Herbert sums it up pretty well. To be sure, a single or

even a few inadvertent errors in failing to complete forms may not amount to willful failures even when the legal requirement to complete the forms was known. Yet at some point when such errors continue or even increase in the face of repeated warnings given by enforcement officials, a company by explanations of the severity of the failure is one may infer as a matter of law that the licensee simply does not care about the legal requirements.

The Government has failed to show that,
number 1, the Licensee didn't care. The Licensee did
care very, very much, and it took all the appropriate
measures to ensure that the law would be complied with,
the training, the auditing, the internal compliance
systems.

Yes, it's true that a mom and pop operation can't afford those systems and yes, it's true, that in those cases they have more simplified systems. And I've seen many small dealers that have fairly sophisticated ways of detecting straw purchases as well.

But whether you're large or small, if you are conducting your operations in generally an exemplary manner, the government has never revoked a license simply because human error was involved and that is the case in this particular matter.

1 It may be true that we have evidence that's 2 disputed as to what Mr. Brady's intent was on the day 3 that he came to purchase the gun and ought to leave that to the tryer of fact maybe to look at that. We're 5 convinced that he came to buy the gun as a gift and that

Mrs. Brady subsequently bought it for her own account.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But even if we accepted the Government's theory, the Government hasn't proved willfulness at all. All we have is a situation where we had a clerk that simply had a forgetful moment and went and did his procedure, and he was so mechanically involved in it, he can't even recall the sale now because it's not remarkable; everything was normal. Nor were any statements according to Mrs. Brady ever made to him to give him a hint that there might be a prior transaction that he needed to be worried about. It was just simple forgetfulness, an inadvertent error.

And when we take a look at all the facts and circumstances, all the mitigating factors that come into this case, and there are many including the IOI not even wanting to recommend revocation or he didn't recommend revocation, and the fact that there was an immediate improvement that's been put into place by Dick's for their national system to try to even eliminate this human error.

So the fact of the matter is there was no willfulness in this case. And that alone when you look at the entire inspection and you look at the entire store operations really shouldn't be the final basis for going and proceeding with a revocation in this case. Thank you.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Barnes. Thank you to everyone who participated in the hearing. I appreciate the efforts putting into addressing the notice.

The Director of Industry Operations for the Nashville Field Division is going to make the final decision on this license based upon this hearing. If that decision is that the license is not revoked, you'll be notified in writing as such, okay.

In the event that the Director of Industry

Operations reaches the decision that the license will be
revoked, the final Notice of Revocation on Form 4501 will
be issued along with a copy of the findings and
conclusions made by the DIO.

If a final Notice of Revocation is received on the ATF Form 4501, the Licensee may file a request in federal district court in the district where they conduct business for what is called *de novo* review. And that can be filed under the provisions of § 923(f)(3), Title 18,

the United States Code. The important note to make with regards to that is that the request needs to be made within 60 days of receiving the notice. Unless anyone else has anything further to add. MS. LANCASTER: Nothing for the Government. MR. MARTIN: Nothing from the Government. MR. BARNES: Nothing further. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. The time is 1:05, and I declare this hearing closed. (Whereupon, the hearing was closed at 1:06 p.m. on February 5, 2014.)

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I hereby certify that the testimony given in
3	the matter of:
4	Dick's Sporting Goods
5	FFL # 1-62-157-01-3K-03207
6	Before MICHAEL T. REILLY, Hearing Officer, was
7	transcribed by the undersigned for FREE STATE REPORTING,
8	INC., and said transcript is a true record, to the best
9	of my ability, of said testimony.
10	
11	Christina Weilson
12	CIOIDANA HILLESON
13	Christina H. Neilson, Transcriber
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	